CO RONAVIRUS

Human neutralizing antibodies to cold linear epitopes and subdomain 1 of the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein

Filippo Bianchini1 †**, Virginia Crivelli1** †**, Morgan E. Abernathy2** †**, Concetta Guerra1** †**, Martin Palus3,4**†**,** Jonathan Muri¹, Harold Marcotte⁵, Antonio Piralla⁶, Mattia Pedotti¹, Raoul De Gasparo¹, **Luca Simonelli¹ , Milos Matkovic1 , Chiara Toscano¹ , Maira Biggiogero⁷ , Veronica Calvaruso7 , Pavel Svoboda3,4,8,9, Tomás Cervantes Rincón¹ , Tommaso Fava1 , Lucie Podešvová1 , Akanksha A. Shanbhag1 , Andrea Celoria¹ , Jacopo Sgrignani1 , Michal Stefanik4,10, Vaclav Hönig3,4, Veronika Pranclova3,11, Tereza Michalcikova12, Jan Prochazka12, Giuditta Guerrini13, Dora Mehn13, Annalisa Ciabattini14, Hassan Abolhassani5,15, David Jarrossay1 , Mariagrazia Uguccioni1 , Donata Medaglini14, Qiang Pan-Hammarström5 , Luigi Calzolai13, Daniel Fernandez16, Fausto Baldanti17,6, Alessandra Franzetti-Pellanda⁷ , Christian Garzoni18, Radislav Sedlacek12, Daniel Ruzek3,4,8*, Luca Varani¹ *, Andrea Cavalli1,19*, Christopher O. Barnes2,20*, Davide F. Robbiani¹ ***

Emergence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) variants diminishes the efficacy of vaccines and antiviral monoclonal antibodies. Continued development of immunotherapies and vaccine immunogens resilient to viral evolution is therefore necessary. Using coldspot-guided antibody discovery, a screening approach that focuses on portions of the virus spike glycoprotein that are both functionally relevant and averse **to change, we identified human neutralizing antibodies to highly conserved viral epitopes. Antibody fp.006 binds the fusion peptide and cross-reacts against coronaviruses of the four genera, including the nine human coronaviruses, through recognition of a conserved motif that includes the S2**′ **site of proteolytic cleavage. Antibody hr2.016 targets the stem helix and neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 variants. Antibody sd1.040 binds to subdomain 1, synergizes with antibody rbd.042 for neutralization, and, similar to fp.006 and hr2.016, protects mice expressing human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 against infection when present as a bispecific antibody. Thus, coldspot-guided antibody discovery reveals donor-derived neutralizing antibodies that are crossreactive with Orthocoronavirinae, including SARS-CoV-2 variants.**

INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus (CoV) spike protein (S) is a trimeric glycoprotein of S1-S2 heterodimers that mediates binding to target cells and membrane fusion (*1*–*3*). Most severe acute respiratory syndrome CoV 2 (SARS-CoV-2) neutralizing antibodies that have been described to date target the receptor binding and N-terminal domains of S (RBD and NTD) (*4*–*6*). However, mutations in the viral genome, such as those found in SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern (VOCs), cause amino acid changes in the RBD and NTD that can diminish or abrogate the effectiveness of vaccines and antiviral monoclonal antibodies currently in the clinic (*7*–*10*). Thus, innovative approaches are needed to identify countermeasures that remain effective despite SARS-CoV-2 viral evolution and have the potential to combat the growing number of CoVs that cause infection in humans (*11*–*13*).

To this end, we used a bioinformatic approach to uncovering regions of S devoid of amino acid changes in SARS-CoV-2, which include highly conserved linear epitopes in the S2 domain. Donorderived monoclonal antibodies from convalescent individuals specific for these regions were evaluated for neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 VOCs and for cross-reactivity to human and zoonotic CoVs across the four Orthocoronavirinae subfamilies. Through this strategy, we identified neutralizing antibodies to the fusion peptide (FP), to the stem helix near the heptad repeat 2 (HR2)

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Copyright © 2023 T Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).

¹Institute for Research in Biomedicine, Università della Svizzera italiana, Bellinzona, Switzerland. ²Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. ³Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic. ⁴Veterinary Research Institute, Brno, Czech Republic. ⁵Department of Biosciences and Nutrition, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden. ⁶Microbiology and Virology Department, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy.
⁷Clinical Besearch Unit Clinica Luganese Moncucco, Lugan Clinical Research Unit, Clinica Luganese Moncucco, Lugano, Switzerland. ⁸Department of Experimental Biology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic. ⁹Department of Pharmacology and Pharmacy, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary Sciences, Brno, Czech Republic. ¹⁰Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Mendel University in Brno, Brno, Czech Republic. ¹¹Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic. ¹²Czech Centre of Phenogenomics, Institute of Molecular Genetics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Vestec, Czech Republic. 13European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Ispra Italy. ^{Ta}Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology, Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena, Siena, Italy. ¹⁵Research Center for Immunodeficiencies, Pediatrics Center of Excellence, Children's Medical Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ¹⁶Sarafan ChEM-H Macromolecular Structure Knowledge Center, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. ¹⁷Department of Clinical, Surgical, Diagnostic and Pediatric Sciences, University of Pavia, Pavia, Pavia, Pavia, Pavia, Pavia, Pavia, Pavia, Pavia, Pavi erberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA, USA.

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: ruzekd@paru.cas.cz (D.R.); luca.varani@irb.usi.ch (L.V.); andrea.cavalli@irb.usi.ch (A.C.); cobarnes@stanford.edu (C.O.B.); drobbiani@irb.usi. ch (D.F.R.)

region, and to the subdomain 1 (SD1) that are broadly cross-reactive and protective in vivo. Collectively, our data add to the growing body of evidence suggesting the potential use of broadly neutralizing antibodies for prophylaxis or therapy against emerging SARS-CoV-2 VOCs and future zoonotic spillover events.

RESULTS

Protective and broadly cross-reactive human antibodies to FP and HR2 coldspots

We hypothesized that some regions of S may be under selective pressure to maintain their amino acid sequence unchanged because it is essential for their function or to maintain proper quaternary structure. To determine whether these regions exist, we analyzed 10,480,461 SARS-CoV-2 sequences from GISAID (*14*). We identified 15 regions with infrequent amino acid changes, which we called "coldspots" that were defined as >17 consecutive amino acids with a frequency of substitutions of <0.1%. One coldspot includes the S2′ cleavage site and a portion of the FP (amino acids 814 to 838), which is a substrate of the TMPRSS2 and cathepsin proteases; a second one is at the stem helix that precedes the HR2 region (amino acids 1142 to 1161); and three coldspots span sequences at the discontinuously encoded SD1 (see data file S1). Both FP and HR2 coldspots are devoid of amino acid changes in SARS-CoV-2 VOCs, whereas changes are rare in SD1 (Fig. 1, A and B, and fig. S1A).

To determine whether antibodies to the FP and HR2 coldspots occur naturally in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection, we evaluated plasma samples from a coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) convalescent cohort by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; $n = 67$). High levels of immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies binding to peptides at the FP and HR2 coldspots were found in convalescent individuals (Fig. 1C). In comparison, IgG levels were low to undetectable in samples from uninfected controls, in prepandemic samples obtained after documented common cold CoV infection, and in most COVID-19–vaccinated individuals, except for some who received inactivated virus–based vaccines (fig. S1B). To examine the molecular features of coldspot antibodies, we used flow cytometry to isolate B cells specific for FP and HR2 peptides from those individuals with high antibody levels in plasma (Fig. 1D and fig. S1, C and D). We obtained 55 (FP) and 100 (HR2) paired IgG heavy and light chain antibody sequences, some of which clustered in expanded clones of related antibodies (fig. S1E). The average number of V gene somatic nucleotide mutations was high: 42 for FP (range: 6 to 76) and 28 for HR2 antibodies (range: 8 to 92; Fig. 1E).

Twenty-nine monoclonal antibodies, including at least one representative for each of the expanded clones, were recombinantly expressed and tested in ELISA (data file S1). Ten of 11 FP antibodies bound to the peptide with half-maximal effective concentrations (EC_{50}) between 25 and 119 ng/ml. The EC_{50} values of the same antibodies to S were on average 4.8-fold higher, except for antibody fp.007, where the observed EC_{50} value declined from 177 to 58 ng/ml (Fig. 1F and fig. S2). Similarly, all 18 HR2 antibodies bound to the peptide with EC_{50} values between 7 and 117 ng/ml, and with an average of 1.3-fold higher EC_{50} value to S. Several of the antibodies were broadly cross-reactive because they bound to representative S trimers of SARS-CoV-2 VOCs, Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS), and human coronavirus 229E (HCoV-

229E) as well as to FP and HR2 peptides corresponding to other CoVs. Most FP antibodies recognized CoVs of the four genera (alpha to delta, including all nine CoVs associated with human disease), and some HR2 antibodies cross-reacted not only with beta- but also with alpha- and gammacoronaviruses (Fig. 1F, figs. S2 and S3, and data file S2) (*11*, *15*, *16*).

To evaluate the antibodies' ability to neutralize SARS-CoV-2, we used a previously established SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus assay (*4*). The most potent FP antibody (fp.006) displayed a half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) of 737 ng/ml, whereas the best HR2 neutralizer (hr2.016) had an IC_{50} value of 10 ng/ml, which was lower than that of previously reported antibodies to this region that were tested alongside (CV3-25 and S2P6; Fig. 1G, fig. S4A, and data file S2) (*16*, *17*). Select anti-FP and anti-HR2 antibodies blocked infection regardless of TMPRSS2 expression by target cells, and, consistent with the view that they antagonize postattachment events, they did not interfere with angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) binding to S in ELISA but inhibited cell fusion (Fig. 1, H and I, and fig. S4, A and B). As expected, on the basis of the absence of amino acid changes at coldspot regions, some FP and HR2 antibodies were effective against pseudoviruses corresponding to SARS-CoV-2 VOCs and against ancestral and Omicron SARS-CoV-2 in vitro. In mice ACE2-humanized by inhalation of a modified adeno-associated virus (AAV-hACE2), we observed protection after challenge with the ancestral virus when administered either as pre- or postexposure prophylaxis (Fig. 1, G, J, and K; fig. S4, C and D; and data file S2). Thus, natural antibodies exist that can protect against SARS-CoV-2 by binding to highly conserved linear epitopes at functional regions of S.

Antibody fp.006 recognizes a partially cryptic epitope that is exposed by ACE2 binding

To gain insight into the mechanism of broad recognition by fp.006, we obtained a 2.0 Å–resolution crystal structure of its Fab in complex with the $_{812}$ PSKRSFIEDLLFNKVTLADA $_{831}$ FP peptide (Fig. 2 and table S1). In the bound structure, FP residues 813 to 825 adopt an α-helical conformation, extending an amphipathic helix observed in prefusion S trimer structures. The helical peptide sits within a groove that is formed by fp.006 complementarity-determining region 3 (CDR3) loops, which mediate most epitope contacts (Fig. 2A). Additional contacts with heavy chain CDR1 and CDR2 loops result in a total buried surface area (BSA) of 1466 \AA^2 (686- \AA^2 paratope BSA + 780- \AA^2 epitope BSA) and are consistent with binding orientations of similarly described anti-FP antibodies (fig. S5, A to G) (*18*–*20*). Of the 13 antigenically distinct CoV FPs tested here, the majority of epitope residues contacted by fp.006 are highly conserved, explaining fp.006's breadth of binding (Fig. 1F and figs. S2B and S5B). In particular, three FP residues (R815, E819, and F823) are completely buried in the Fab groove and make extensive hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions (Fig. 2, B to G). As a result, one face of the FP amphipathic helix comprises two polar residues that contact a polar patch on the edge of the Fab trough formed by CDRH2, and the opposite hydrophobic face engages hydrophobic residues in the CDRH3 loop (fig. S5, D and E). Residue R815, which is critical for TMPRSS2 and cathepsin cleavage (*3*, *21*, *22*), forms hydrogen bonds with the Fab CDRH1 loop and a cation- π interaction with Y52A in CDRH2 (Fig. 2D). Given the importance of R815 in protease cleavage, fp.006-mediated neutralization likely includes steric hindrance of

Fig. 1. Identification of virus-

neutralizing coldspot antibodies. (**A**) Top: Cartoon diagram of the SARS-CoV-2 spike with highlighted coldspot areas at the FP (red), HR2 region (blue), and SD1 (green). Thick horizontal lines indicate the location of all coldspots (see also fig. S1A). Bottom: Amino acid (aa) changes in SARS-CoV-2 variants. Each circle represents a single amino acid substitution over ancestral virus. (**B**) Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike; FP (amino acids 814 to 838) and HR2 (amino acid 1142 to 1161) coldspots are in red and blue, respectively (PDB: 6XM4). (**C**) ELISA measurements of convalescent plasma IgG reactivity to FP (top) or HR2 (bottom) peptides. Optical density units at 450 nm (OD; *y* axis) and reciprocal plasma dilutions (*x* axis). Noninfected controls are in black; samples selected for cell sorting by flow cytometry are in red or blue. Two independent experiments. (**D**) Representative flow cytometry plots of B cells binding to fluorescently labeled FP (top) or HR2 (bottom) peptides. Numbers indicate the percentage of doublepositive cells in the gate. (**E**) The number of heavy and light chain V gene somatic mutations of antibodies to the FP (top) or HR2 (bottom) peptides. (**F**) Heatmaps with ELISA EC_{50} values of monoclonal antibodies(mAbs) binding to the S of CoVs (top) and to the FP and HR2 peptides (bottom) corresponding to the CoV species, whose genus is indicated by Greek letters. The monoclonal antibodies to the HR2 region S2P6 (*16*) and CV3-25 (*17*) were assayed alongside for comparison. Cross indicates not tested. Two experiments. (**G**) Graph with IC_{50} values of monoclonal antibodies neutralizing pseudoviruses corresponding to the indicated VOC. Two experiments. (**H**) ACE2

binding to ancestral S in ELISA in the presence of select FP and HR2 antibodies. Dotted line representsthe limit of detection. Two experiments. (**I**) Inhibition of cell fusion by FP and HR2 antibodies. (**J** and **K**) fp.006 and hr2.016 antibodies protect in vivo. Top: Diagram of the experiment's timeline. Middle: Mouse weight over time after challenge with ancestral SARS-CoV-2 of AAV-hACE2 mice treated with antibodies either 24 hours before [(J); *n* = 6 per group, *P* = 0.0022 for both fp.006 and hr2.016 versus isotype at day 7] or 2 hours after [(K); *n* = 5 per group, *P* = 0.0079 for both fp.006 and hr2.016 versus isotype at day 7] the infection. Mann-Whitney *U* test; SD is shown. Bottom: Representative lung images at day 7.

TMPRSS2 and cathepsin binding and further processing of the S trimer for productive fusion. Superposition of the Fab-FP complex crystal structure with a prefusion S trimer structure revealed an approach angle incompatible with Fab binding, which explains the weak binding observed for FP antibodies to prefusion S trimers (Figs. 1F and 2C). Thus, cleavage by cellular proteases at the S2′ site and antibody recognition of this partially cryptic epitope likely involve transient conformational changes that are necessary to expose the FP epitope.

In agreement with this view, ACE2 engagement of cell surface– expressed S, which is known to alter S conformation, increased fp.006 binding 5.8-fold in a flow cytometry assay, and the addition

May 29,

2023

Fig. 2. SARS-CoV-2 FP recognition by fp.006. (**A**) Overview of the complex structure of fp.006 Fab (surface representation; heavy chain in teal and light chain in light teal) bound to the SARS-CoV-2 FP (orange cartoon) with interacting side chains represented as sticks. (**B**) Visualization of FP residues F823, E819, and R815 resting in a deep groove formed at the antibody paratope, with coloring as in (A). (**C**) Overlay of the fp.006-FP crystal structure with a cryo-EM structure of the SARS-CoV-2 prefusion S trimer (PDB: 6VXX). Models were aligned on Cα atoms of FP residues 818 to 822 (helical in both structures) with a root mean square deviation of 0.97 Å. (**D**) Residue-level interactions between FP residue R815 and the antibody heavy chain include hydrogen bond formation with N31 and a cation-π interaction with Y52A. (**E**) Water-mediated interactions between FP residue E819 and heavy chain residues Y52A, N56, and F97. Water molecules are shown as red spheres. (**F**) Van der Waals contacts between FP residue F823 (orange stick) and residuesthat comprise a groove at the heavy and light chain interface (teal surfaces). (**G**) Interactions between FP residue D820 and fp.006 CDRH2 residues include a salt bridge with R55 and additional hydrogen bond formation with N56. Hydrogen bonds, salt bridges, and cation-π interactions are shown as dashed blue lines. (H) Flow cytometry detection of anti-FP and anti-HR2 antibody binding to SARS-CoV-2 S expressed on 293T cells. Left: Representative FACS plots (pregated on live singlet-GFP⁺ cells). Black lines indicate isotype control in the presence (continuous line) or absence (dotted line) of soluble ACE2. Right: Quantification of the geometric mean fluorescent intensity (gMFI; *n* = 3). Two-tailed paired *t* test: **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001, and *****P* < 0.0001; SD is shown.

Fig. 3. Identification of broadly cross-reactive antibodies to SD1 and RBD. (A) Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 S. S protomer with RBD up (left) or down (middle) and S trimer with two down and one up (right; PDB: 6XM4). SD1 and RBD are in green and yellow, respectively. (**B**) Graph shows ELISAs measuring plasma IgG reactivity to SD1- RBD. Negative controls are in black; samples selected for sorting are in green. Mean of two independent experiments. A total of 82.1% of the plasma samples were positive (4 SD higher than the average AUC of the controls). (**C**) Representative flow cytometry plot of B cells binding to fluorescently labeled SD1-RBD. Percentage refersto gated cells. (**D** and **E**) ELISAs measuring the reactivity of monoclonal antibodies to SD1-RBD (D) and to RBD (E). Mean of two independent experiments. (**F**) Heatmaps with the binding (EC₅₀) of SD1 monoclonal antibodies to S (top) or SD1-RBD (bottom) proteins corresponding to SARS-CoV-2 VOC. Two experiments. (**G**) Graph shows normalized relative luminescence values in cell lysates of 293T_{ACE2} cells after infection with ancestral SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus in the presence of increasing concentrations of broadly cross-reactive SD1 monoclonal antibodies. At least two independent experiments. RLU, relative luminescence units.

of soluble ACE2 synergized with fp.006 for neutralization (Fig. 2H and fig. S5, H and I). Consistent with the ELISA and neutralization data (Fig. 1, F and G), binding of fp.006 to S was weaker with Omicron by this assay, but ACE2 attachment improved it to levels similar to those of ancestral (5.3-fold for BA.1 and 8.2-fold for BA.4/ BA.5; Fig. 2H). Therefore, ACE2 can induce conformational changes of S that expose the highly conserved FP epitope and favor neutralization. ACE2 attachment also increased the binding of hr2.016 and hr2.023 to both ancestral (2.3- and 2.4-fold) and Omicron S (4.9- and 5.8-fold for BA.1 and 4.6- and 5.3-fold for BA.4/BA.5, respectively; Fig. 2H) but did not improve the binding of fp.007, a neutralizing FP antibody that displays a different pattern of cross-reactivity from fp.006 (Figs. 1F and 2H). Therefore, optimal FP recognition by neutralizing fp.007-like antibodies does not require ACE2-induced conformational changes.

Antibodies to SD1 broadly neutralize VOCs

The SD1 of SARS-CoV-2 S is adjacent to the RBD, and its sequence is conserved across SARS-CoV-2 variants, except for substitutions A570D in Alpha (B.1.1.7) and T547K in Omicron BA.1 (B.1.1.529; Figs. 1, A and B, and 3A). To identify antibodies targeting SD1, we designed a flow cytometry–based strategy that combines negative selection of B cells binding to the RBD (amino acids 331 to 529) with positive selection of those binding to SD1-RBD (amino acids

SCIENCE IMMUNOLO GY | RESEARCH ARTICLE

Fig. 4. Cryo-EM structure of sd1.040 in complex with SARS-CoV-2 S. (A) ACE2 binding to ancestral S in ELISA in the presence of sd1.040 or C121 control antibody. Representative of two experiments. (**B**) Structure of the sd1.040-S complex. Spike SD1 and RBD regions are shown as surface representations and colored wheat and gray, respectively. The sd1.040 Fab heavy chain (dark green) and light chain (light green) are shown as cartoons. The S N331-glycan that interacts with the sd1.040 Fab isshown as teal spheres. Inset: sd1.040 binding orientation on trimeric S shows clashes. (C and D) Surface rendering of sd1.040 epitope is highlighted on the SD1 and RBD surfaces, with sd1.040 CDR loops shown (ribbon). Most sd1.040 contacts are mediated by CDRH2, CDRL1, and CDRL3 loops. (E) SPR experiment showing the binding of sd1.040 Fab to ancestral SD1-RBD or S. (**F**) Antibody sd1.040 prevents ACE2-induced rearrangements. Flow cytometry detection of fp.006 binding to ancestral SARS-CoV-2 S expressed on 293T cells. Left: Representative FACS plots. Black lines indicate isotype control in the presence (continuous line) or absence (dotted line) of soluble ACE2. Right: Quantification of the geometric mean fluorescent intensity (*n* = 4). Two-tailed unpaired *t* test: **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001, and *****P* < 0.0001.

319 to 591; fig. S1C). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were obtained from individuals with high plasma IgG reactivity to SD1-RBD (Fig. 3B and fig. S6, A and B), and B cells enriched for binding to SD1 were sorted as single cells for antibody gene sequencing (Fig. 3C and fig. S6C).

Twenty-five monoclonal antibodies were cloned and produced. All 25 bound to SD1-RBD in ELISA, and 16 were SD1-specific (Fig. 3, D and E, and data file S1). Six of the SD1 antibodies cross-reacted with SD1-RBD proteins corresponding to all 12 CoV VOCs with EC_{50} values of 66.25 ng/ml or lower, whereas only two RBD antibodies cross-reacted with all variants' RBDs at low EC₅₀ values (Fig. 3F; fig. S6, D to F; and data file S2). Select antibodies also bound effectively to the common SD1 variants T572I and E583D (fig. S6G). In pseudovirus-based neutralization assays, the best broadly cross-reactive SD1 antibody was sd1.040 (IC $_{50}$ = 245 ng/ml; Fig. 3G and data file S2). These results demonstrate that naturally occurring antibodies can neutralize SARS-CoV-2 by binding to SD1.

SCIENCE IMMUNOLO GY | RESEARCH ARTICLE

Fig. 5. In vitro neutralization and mouse protection by the bispecific antibody CoV-X4042. (A) SPR assay of the sequential binding of immobilized antibodies to SD1-RBD protein followed by either sd1.040, rbd.042, or CoV-X4042. (**B**) SPR analysis showing that both arms of CoV-X4042 bind simultaneously to the same SD1-RBD molecule because avidity is retained at decreasing SD1-RBD concentrations. Increasing normalized *K*_d values indicate loss of avidity. Solid lines, IgG; dashed lines, Fab (see also fig. S8A). (C) Normalized relative luminescence values in cell lysates of 293T_{ACE2} cells after infection with ancestral SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus in the presence of increasing concentrations of CoV-X4042 or its parental monoclonal antibodies individually or as a cocktail. Isotype control is in black. Right: Mean IC₅₀ values and significance (P) when parental antibodies are compared with CoV-X4042 ($n = 4$; Welch's t test, two-tailed). (D) Graph with IC₅₀ values of bispecific and parental monoclonal antibodies neutralizing pseudoviruses corresponding to the indicated VOC. Mean of two independent experiments. (**E**) In vitro neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by CoV-X4042. (**F**) CoV-X4042 protects in vivo. Top: Diagram of the experiment's timeline. Bottom: Mouse weight over time after challenge, with ancestral SARS-CoV-2, of AAV-hACE2 mice treated with antibodies either 24 hours before (PRE; $n = 5$ per group, $P = 0.0079$) or 2 hours after (POST; $n = 5$ per group, $P = 0.0079$; day 7) the infection. Mann-Whitney *U* test; SD is shown. (**G**) CoV-X4042 reduces viral titers in the lungs. Mice were treated with antibodies 24 hours before infection, and virus titers were evaluated on day 3 (*n* = 5 per group *P* = 0.0079 with both ancestral and Omicron BA.1; Mann-Whitney *U* test, SD is shown).

Antibody sd1.040 stabilizes the spike trimer

The mechanism of neutralization by sd1.040 does not involve inhibition of receptor binding because sd1.040, unlike C121 (*4*), failed to prevent ACE2 binding to S in ELISA (Fig. 4A). To gain insight into the neutralization mechanism, we formed a complex between sd1.040 Fabs bound to a prefusion S-2P trimer and used cryo–electron microscopy (cryo-EM) for structure determination. No intact Fab–S trimer structures were observed within the dataset (fig. S7, A to D). Instead, our 3.7 Å cryo-EM structure revealed sd1.040 Fabs in complex with S1 protomers, recognizing an epitope comprising SD1 residues 554 to 562 and 577 to 581 and RBD residues 520 to 524 (Fig. 4, B to D; fig. S7, A to D; and table S2). Superposition of the cryo-EM sd1.040–S protomer complex on published prefusion S structures, indiscriminate of RBD conformation, revealed minor clashes with the NTD of the adjacent protomer, similar to antibody P008_60 (*23*), which contrasts the recently described SD1-specific murine antibody S3H3 (Fig. 4B, inset, and fig. S7, E and F) (*24*). It is likely that sd1.040 binding to S requires minor local rearrangement of the NTD. Docking and molecular dynamics computational simulations suggested the presence of quaternary interactions between the antibody, SD1, and RBD on one protomer and NTD on another, which require minor rearrangement of the NTD hinging around residues 295 to 300 toward the end of the NTD (fig. S7, G and H). This rearrangement does not result in clashes with other S regions. The CDRH1 and CDRH3, which do not interact with RBD/SD1 in the cryo-EM protomer structure, are shown to directly contact the NTD in the simulations. These quaternary interactions are likely to stabilize the complex, which, by surface plasmon resonance (SPR), displays a ~20 times stronger binding affinity for S [dissociation constant (K_d) 0.2 nM] than for the SD1-RBD (K_d) 4.2 nM; Fig. 4E). To test the hypothesis that the mechanism of neutralization by sd1.040 is through the inhibition of S molecular rearrangements, we measured fp.006 binding to S by flow cytometry. ACE2 attachment in this assay improved fp.006 binding to S, which was, however, blocked by treatment with sd1.040 (Fig. 4F). Thus, sd1.040 interferes with conformational changes downstream of ACE2 attachment.

Bispecific antibody CoV-X4042 neutralizes VOCs and protects mice

On the basis of the breadth of binding exhibited by antibodies sd1.040 and rbd.042 against SARS-CoV-2 VOCs, their potency of neutralization, and preliminary experiments that indicated synergistic effects from combining them (Fig. 3, F and G, and fig. S6, F and H), we produced a bispecific antibody that includes the moieties of both antibodies, named CoV-X4042. CoV-X4042 has a natural IgG format including the entire Fc region and complementary modifications in the Fc and C_H1 (domain 1 of the constant portion of the Ig heavy chain)/ C_L (light chain) regions that minimize the formation of undesired by-products (*25*). Consistent with the parental antibodies binding to distinct epitopes, both arms of CoV-X4042 can simultaneously engage the same SD1- RBD molecule (Fig. 5, A and B, and fig. S8). In pseudovirusbased neutralization assays, CoV-X4042, similar to the sd1.040/ rbd.042 cocktail, exhibited substantial synergistic activity over either of the parental antibodies alone (Fig. 5C). CoV-X4042 neutralized pseudoviruses corresponding to VOCs and remained effective even when one of the parental antibodies lost efficacy (e.g., rbd.042 against Omicron BA.4/BA.5; Fig. 5D). Efficacy of the

bispecific antibody was confirmed against ancestral and Omicron SARS-CoV-2 in vitro and by in vivo protection experiments showing that AAV-hACE2 mice treated with CoV-X4042, either as pre- or postexposure prophylaxis, maintained body weight and displayed diminished pathology and infectious virus titers in the lungs (Fig. 5, E to G). Therefore, bispecific antibodies composed of moieties that simultaneously target conserved neutralizing epitopes on SD1 and RBDs are effective against SARS-CoV-2 in preclinical mouse models of infection.

DISCUSSION

The evolving antigenic landscape of SARS-CoV-2 poses unanticipated challenges to the development of vaccines and immunotherapies. Monoclonal antibodies against the RBD that are potent against the ancestral virus were prioritized for clinical development (*26*–*30*). However, most of these antibodies lost efficacy because of amino acid changes in SARS-CoV-2 variants that possibly resulted from immune pressure (*8*, *10*, *27*, *31*–*33*). Although antibodies have been reported to broadly neutralize SARS-CoV-2 variants (e.g., anti-NTD antibodies, bebtelovimab, and sotrovimab), the majority of potent neutralizers recognize epitopes outside coldspot regions (*6*, *34*). The three RBD coldspots (residues 377 to 404, 418 to 438, and 454 to 476) partially comprise the conserved class 4 epitope, which has been identified as a potential target for eliciting cross-reactive antibodies against the sarbecovirus lineage (*35*–*39*). However, class 4 antibodies are weakly neutralizing and lack effectiveness against Omicron sublineages (*40*). Neutralizing antibodies that target the S2 region and act in the postattachment phase were recently described. Although generally weak neutralizers, some display broad reactivity against SARS-CoV-2 variants and even against more distant CoV species (*16*–*20*, *41*, *42*), which is analogous to what is observed with antibodies that target the FP of influenza and HIV-1 (*43*–*45*).

In contrast, we identified an antibody to the stem helix that precedes the HR2 region (hr2.016), which is, at the same time, potent $(IC_{50}$ value of 10 ng/ml) and broadly cross-reactive with beta- and some alpha- and gammacoronaviruses. Similarly, we identified a panel of anti-FP neutralizing antibodies that broadly recognize more distant CoVs, including all nine of the known human CoVs. These results are consistent with the high degree of amino acid conservation at these regions of CoVs. IgG to FP and near the HR2 region was detected in convalescents and in individuals immunized with inactivated virus–based, but not with mRNA- or adenovirusbased, vaccines, suggesting that not all the current vaccines are equally proficient at inducing antibodies to these broadly conserved neutralizing epitopes. This is possibly because of amino acid changes made in vaccines to stabilize the S trimer that may reduce the accessibility of these epitopes (*18*). Future vaccine development may consider including these new targets to afford improved and broader effectiveness. However, it will be important to understand how neutralizing antibodies recognize native epitopes on the prefusion spike trimer to guide these efforts.

For FP-specific antibodies, we observed specific contacts with residue R815 in the S2′ cleavage site. Cleavage at this site during entry allows for the rearrangement of the S2 subunit and subsequent fusion with the host cell membrane. A recent publication comparing CoV FP binding antibodies hypothesizes that binding to this residue may be a feature that distinguishes neutralizing and nonneutralizing FP antibodies (*19*). Another publication also posited that the mechanism of neutralization for cross-reactive FP antibodies was the steric occlusion of TMPRSS2 binding, rather than the prevention of fusogenic rearrangements, which supports the idea that binding to this highly conserved Arg is a key determinant for neutralizing FP antibodies (*18*). In addition to TMPRSS2 cleavage, viral fusion can proceed through cathepsin cleavage in the endosome downstream of the putative S2′ cleavage site (*2*, *3*). Consistent with the observation that FP antibodies are effective regardless of TMPRSS2 expression on target cells, superposition of fp.006 on the S structure indicates that access to the cathepsin site is also hindered by the antibody presence, suggesting that fp.006 neutralization may involve a second mechanism preventing the fusion of the viral and endosomal membranes in the cytoplasm.

We also report on human neutralizing antibodies that target SD1, a generally understudied but highly conserved region of S next to the RBD. Previous studies have demonstrated that quaternary interactions between the RBD and SD1 of one protomer with the NTD of the neighboring S1 protomer likely play a stabilizing role for prefusion S trimers (*46*). Our data suggest that antibody sd1.040 does not neutralize by interfering with ACE2 receptor binding. Instead, its function is likely linked to the inhibition of conformational changes that expose the fusion loop upon engagement of ACE2. This mechanism is distinct from that of murine antibody S3H3 that potentially functions by "locking" the release of S1 subunits from S2 (*24*).

Although the target sequences are conserved, FP, HR2, and SD1 antibodies are variably efficacious against VOC. This may reflect differences in the overall conformation of S variants that alter epitope accessibility as well as changes in residues contacted by the antibodies that are outside the coldspot region. For FP and HR2 antibodies, the observation that ACE2 attachment renders the epitopes more accessible and improves neutralization suggests that, for therapeutic purposes, it may be valuable to combine them with antibodies against the RBD that mimic ACE2 binding (*47*).

In recent work, broadly cross-reactive antibodies were identified through large-scale screening of more than 670,000 memory B cell antibodies or more than 4000 B cell cultures (*18*, *19*). By focusing on portions of the virus that are both functionally relevant and averse to change, the strategy described here represents a complementary, resource-savvy approach for the rapid identification of antibodies with the potential of being broadly cross-reactive against variants of a single virus or against multiple related virus species. This approach relies on the analysis of large collections of virus sequences, which at present are publicly available only for a handful of pathogens (e.g., more than 10 mio for SARS-CoV-2; 0.366 mio for influenza; 0.016 mio for HIV-1) (*14*, *48*, *49*) but are expected to become more broadly available for other pathogens in the future because of increased surveillance and ease of sequencing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

This study was designed with the goal to identify broadly cross-reactive and cross-neutralizing monoclonal antibodies that could recognize all SARS-CoV-2 VOCs and likely remain effective against future VOCs. Through bioinformatic analysis, we identified highly conserved regions (coldspots) on the SARS-CoV-2 spike.

We designed peptides and produced protein domains corresponding to these coldspots to specifically derive antibodies from the memory B cells of SARS-CoV-2 convalescent individuals. Monoclonal antibodies to coldspot regions were recombinantly produced and evaluated for cross-reactivity to coldspot peptides, SD1-RBD, and spike proteins in ELISA. The most interesting antibodies were further characterized in vitro for neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 VOC pseudoviruses and authentic viruses and in vivo with mice protection experiments. To define antibody recognition of viral epitopes, we used x-ray crystallography and cryo-EM to obtain the structures of fp.006 in complex with FP peptide and sd1.040 in complex with full spike trimer, respectively. The mechanism of action of the most interesting FP, HR2, and SD1 antibodies was further investigated by flow cytometry and other complementary methods.

Computational analyses of viral sequences

Sequences of reference for ancestral SARS-CoV-2 and its variants of interest and concern (Fig. 1A) were derived from ViralZone [\(https://viralzone.expasy.org/9556](https://viralzone.expasy.org/9556)), matched the World Health Organization classification ([www.who.int/en/activities/tracking-](http://www.who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants/)[SARS-CoV-2-variants/\)](http://www.who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants/), and were as follows: ancestral SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-Hu-1 (19A; GenBank: QHO60594.1), Alpha (B1.1.7; GenBank: QWE88920.1), Beta (B.1.351; GenBank: QRN78347.1), Gamma (B.1.1.28.1; GenBank: QVE55289.1), Delta (B.1.617.2; GenBank: QWK65230.1), Epsilon (B.1.427; B.1.429; GenBank QQM19141.1), Zeta (B.1.1.28.2; GenBank; QQX30509.1), Eta (B.1.525; GenBank: QRF70806.1), Theta (B.1.1.28.3), Iota (B.1.526; GenBank: QRX49325.1), Kappa (B.1.617.1; GenBank: QTY83052.1), Lambda (B.1.1.1.C37; GenBank: QTJ90974.1), Mu (B.1.621), Omicron BA.1 (previously B.1.1.529; GenBank: UFO69279.1), Omicron BA.2 (GenBank: ULB15050.1), Omicron BA.3 (GISAID: EPI ISL 9092427), Omicron BA.4 (GenBank: UPP14409.1), and Omicron BA.5 (GenBank: UOZ45804.1). For the analysis of SARS-CoV-2 amino acid substitutions, viral sequences of S that were present in GISAID as of either 31 December 2020, 31 December 2021, or 29 April 2022 were downloaded. Sequences with a length of S corresponding between 1223 and 1323 amino acids and no undetermined amino acids were aligned to determine the frequency of amino acid changes over ancestral reference sequences using BLASTP version 2.5.0 with default settings. Frequencies were computed using an in-house–developed bash and C++ pipeline available at GitHub ([https://github.com/cavallilab/coldspot\)](https://github.com/cavallilab/coldspot). The models of the full S, glycosylated, and with a membrane, both closed and open conformation, were taken from a previous publication (*50*) and rendered with PyMOL 2.3.5 (Figs. 1B and 3A). For the phylogenetic analysis and peptide sequence alignment, sequences of the representative S protein of CoV species classified according to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses taxonomical classification ([https://talk.ictvonline.org/taxonomy/\)](https://talk.ictvonline.org/taxonomy/) (*51*) were derived from the National Center for Biotechnology Information taxonomy database [\(www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/data-hub/taxonomy/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/data-hub/taxonomy/11118/) [11118/\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/data-hub/taxonomy/11118/) (*52*), aligned using ClustalW (SnapGene), and the phylogenetic tree was built using phylogeny.fr with default settings (*53*).

Study participants *COVID-19 convalescent cohort*

Sixty-seven individuals, who were diagnosed with COVID-19 at the Clinica Luganese Moncucco (Switzerland) between March and November of 2020, were enrolled in the study, and written informed consent was obtained. Inclusion criteria were a SARS-CoV-2–positive nasopharyngeal swab test by real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) or being a symptomatic close contact (same household) of a hospitalized participant and age of ≥18 years. Samples were 83 to 269 days after the onset of symptoms. The study was performed in compliance with all relevant ethical regulations under study protocols approved by the Ethical Committee of the Canton Ticino (ECCT): CE-3428 and CE-3960.

Control cohort

Seventeen individuals (\geq 18 years), with the absence of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection or vaccination, as confirmed by a negative serologic test, were enrolled between November 2020 and June 2021, and written informed consent was obtained (ECCT: CE-3428).

Vaccination cohort

Individuals $(\geq 18$ years), with the absence of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection and who received either mRNA-based (*n* = 11 for BNT162b2, samples obtained 75 to 136 days after the second dose; $n = 5$ for mRNA-1273, 85 to 120 days after the second dose), adenovirus-based (*n* = 19 for ChAdOx1-S, 90 days after the second dose; *n* = 4 for Ad26.COV2.S, 21 days after a single dose), or inactivated virus–based ($n = 2$ for Sinovac, 26 to 60 days after the second dose; $n = 24$ for Sinopharm, 6 to 60 days after the second dose) COVID-19 vaccines, were enrolled under approved protocols (ECCT: CE-3428 and CE-3960; Ethic Committee Karolinska Institutet: Dnr 2020-02646; Ethic Committee Tehran University of Medical Sciences: IR.TUMS.CHMC.REC.1399.098-B2; Ethical Committee for Clinical Experimentation of Regione Toscana Area Vasta Sud Est: ID 18869). Controls to the adenovirus-vaccinated group are prevaccination samples from the same participants.

Prepandemic common cold coronavirus convalescents

Six samples from individuals with confirmed common cold CoV infection were obtained 6 to 375 days after symptom onset at Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia (Institutional Review Board protocol no. P_20200029440).

Blood sample processing and storage

PBMCs from COVID-19 convalescents were obtained by Histopaque density centrifugation and stored in liquid nitrogen in the presence of fetal bovine serum (FBS) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Anticoagulated plasma was aliquoted and stored at −20°C or less. Before experiments, aliquots of plasma were heat-inactivated (56°C for 1 hour) and then stored at 4°C. Similarly, frozen plasma aliquots from noninfected, common cold–infected, and vaccinated individuals were stored at 4°C after heat inactivation.

Peptides and recombinant proteins for biochemical studies *Peptides*

Synthetic peptides containing the FP and HR2 coldspot sequences were designed and obtained (>75% purity) from GenScript (Hong Kong). Peptides were biotinylated (Biotin-Ahx) at the N terminus and amidated at the C terminus. The amino acid sequence of all peptides in this study is shown in fig. S2B.

Proteins

The CoV proteins were produced and purified as described (*54*). *S proteins*

A codon-optimized gene encoding residues 1 to 1208 of the SARS-CoV-2 S ectodomain (GenBank: MN908947) was synthesized and cloned into the mammalian expression vector $pCDNA3.1(+)$ by GenScript; the sequence contains proline substitutions at residues 986 and 987 (S-2P), a "GSAS" substitution at the furin cleavage site (residues 682 to 685), a C-terminal T4 fibritin trimerization motif, and a C-terminal octa-histidine tag. SARS-CoV-2 S ectodomains corresponding to the SARS-CoV-2 VOCs were based on the following: Delta, GenBank: QWK65230.1; Omicron BA.1, GenBank: UFO69279.1; and Omicron BA.4/BA.5, GenBank: UPP14409.1 + G3V. MERS and HCoV-229E S ectodomains were based on Protein Data Bank (PDB) 6NB3_A for MERS and PDB 6U7H_A for HcoV-229E (residues 17 to 1142). RBD and SD1- RBD proteins: Plasmids for the production of RBD and SD1-RBD proteins were similarly designed and obtained. RBD and SD1-RBD corresponding to ancestral SARS-CoV-2 were based on an early SARS-CoV-2 sequence isolate (GenBank: QHO60594.1) and included amino acids 331 to 529 and 319 to 591, respectively. RBD and SD1-RBD corresponding to the VOC were based on the following: Alpha, GenBank: QWE88920.1; Beta, GenBank: QRN78347.1; Gamma, GenBank: QVE55289.1; Delta, GenBank: QWK65230.1; Omicron BA.1, GenBank: UFO69279.1; Omicron BA.2, GenBank: UJE45220.1; Omicron BA.2.75, GenBank: UTM82166.1; Omicron BA.2.75.2, GenBank: UTM82166.1 + R343T + F483S; Omicron BA.4/BA.5, GenBank: UPP14409.1; Omicron BA.4.6, GenBank: UPP14409.1 + R341T; Omicron BA.4.7, GenBank: UPP14409.1 + R341S; and Omicron BA.5.9, GenBank: UPP14409.1 + R341I. SD1-RBD T572I and E583D were based on the ancestral SARS-CoV-2 sequence (GenBank: QHO60594.1) with T572I or E583D, respectively. For flow cytometry–based sorting experiments, ancestral SARS-CoV-2 RBD and SD1-RBD constructs were produced that included at the C terminus an Avitag (GLNDIFEAQKIEWHE) for site-directed biotinylation in addition to an octa-histidine tag for purification. ACE2 protein (human ACE2 fused at the C terminus with the Fc of mouse IgG) was produced as previously described (*54*), with the synthetic, codon-optimized nucleotide sequence of hACE2 (residues 18 to 740) fused at the C terminus to the Fc region of human IgG1 and cloned into a pcDNA3.1(+) vector by GenScript. All proteins were produced by transient polyethylenimine (PEI) transfection in Expi293F cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific), purified from the cell supernatants with proper affinity columns, and analyzed to ensure functionality, stability, lack of aggregation, and batch-to-batch reproducibility as previously described (*54*).

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays

To evaluate the ability of antibodiesto bind to peptides and proteins of CoVs, we performed ELISAs.

Peptide ELISA

Ninety-six–well plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 442404) were coated with 50 μl per well of NeutrAvidin (2 μg/ml) (Life Technologies, 31000) solution in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) overnight at room temperature. Plates were washed four times with washing buffer[PBS + 0.05% Tween 20 (Sigma-Aldrich)] and incubated with 50 μl per well of 50 nM biotinylated peptide solution in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing four times with

washing buffer, we incubated plates with 200 μl per well of blocking buffer (PBS $+ 2\%$ bovine serum albumin $+ 0.05\%$ Tween 20) for 2 hours at room temperature. Plates were then washed four times with washing buffer, and serial dilutions of monoclonal antibodies or plasma were added in PBS + 0.05% Tween 20 and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. To screen for the presence of anti-coldspot peptide IgGs, we assayed plasma samples at a 1:50 starting dilution followed by seven (Figs. 1C and 3B) or three (fig. S1B) threefold serial dilutions. Monoclonal antibodies were tested starting at the indicated concentrations and followed by threefold serial dilutions. Plates were subsequently washed four times with washing buffer and incubated with anti-human IgG secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (GE Healthcare, NA933) at a 1:5000 dilution in PBS + 0.05% Tween 20. Last, after washing four times with washing buffer, we developed plates by the addition of 50 μl per well of the HRP substrate 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 34021) for 10 min. The developing reaction was stopped with 50 μl per well of 1 M H_2SO_4 solution, and absorbance was measured at 450 nm with an ELISA microplate reader (BioTek) with Gen5 software. The area under the curve (AUC) was obtained from two independent experiments and plotted with GraphPad Prism.

Protein ELISA

Experiments were performed with 96-well plates coated with 50 μl per well of a protein solution (5 μg/ml) in PBS overnight at room temperature and subsequently blocked and treated as described above. Monoclonal antibodies were tested starting at the indicated concentrations and followed by three-, four-, or fivefold serial dilutions. Cross-reactivity ELISAs on SD1-RBD variants were performed in 96-well plates with half-area (Corning, 3690) and using half of the volumes mentioned above.

ACE2 binding ELISA

Experiments were performed as previously described (*54*). Briefly, 96-well plates with half-area (Corning, 3690) were coated with 25 μl per well of a spike solution (5 μg/ml) in PBS and incubated overnight at 4°C. After washing, blocking was performed with 10% FBS in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature. Monoclonal antibodies were added at the indicated concentrations and followed by threefold serial dilutions in blocking buffer. After washing, 25 μl per well of a solution (5 μg/ml) of human ACE2 fused to the Fc portion of mouse IgG were added to the plate. Detection of ACE2 was performed with an alkaline phosphatase (AP)–conjugated antimouse IgG secondary antibody (Southern Biotechnology Associates, 1030-04) diluted 1:500 in blocking buffer.

Protein biotinylation for use in flow cytometry

Purified, Avi-tagged SARS-CoV-2 RBD and SD1-RBD (both corresponding to SARS-CoV-2 ancestral virus) were biotinylated using the Biotin-Protein Ligase-BIRA kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Avidity). Ovalbumin (Ova) (Sigma-Aldrich, A5503- 1G) was biotinylated using the EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotinylation kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Biotinylated Ova and RBD were conjugated to streptavidin-BV711 (BD Biosciences, 563262), and SD1-RBD was conjugated to streptavidin-phycoerythrin (PE) (BD Biosciences, 554061) and streptavidin–Alexa Fluor 647 (AF647, BioLegend, 405237), respectively.

Single-cell sorting by flow cytometry

B cells from PBMCs of uninfected controls or of COVID-19 convalescent individuals were enriched using the pan–B cell isolation kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Miltenyi Biotec, 130- 101-638). The enriched B cells were subsequently stained in fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) buffer (PBS + 2% fetal calf serum + 1 mM EDTA) with the following antibodies/reagents (all at 1:200 dilution) for 30 min on ice: anti–CD20-PE-Cy7 (BD Biosciences, 335828), anti–CD14-APC-eFluor 780 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 47-0149-42), anti–CD16-APC-eFluor 780 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 47-0168-41), anti–CD3-APC-eFluor 780 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 47-0037-41), anti–CD8-APC-eFluor 780 (Invitrogen, 47-0086-42), and Zombie NIR (BioLegend, 423105), as well as fluorophore-labeled Ova and peptides. Live single Zombie-NIR−- CD14−CD16−CD3−CD8−CD20⁺ Ova−peptide-PE⁺ peptide-AF647+ B cells were single-cell–sorted into 96-well plates containing 4 μl of lysis buffer [0.5× PBS, 10 mM dithiothreitol, and RNasin ribonuclease inhibitors (3000 U/ml; Promega, N2615)] per well using a FACSAria III, and the analysis was performed with FlowJo software. The isolation of SD1-enriched B cells was performed similarly, except that sorted cells were live single Zombie-NIR−CD14−CD16−CD3−CD8−CD20⁺ Ova−RBD−SD1-RBD-PE⁺SD1-RBD-AF647⁺. The gating strategy is shown in fig. S1C.

Antibody gene sequencing, cloning, and expression

Antibody gene sequences were identified as described previously (*4*). Briefly, single-cell RNA was reverse-transcribed (SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase, Invitrogen, 18080-044), and the complementary DNA was stored at −20°C or used for subsequent amplification of the variable IGH, IGL, and IGK genes by nested PCR and Sanger sequencing. Amplicons from the first PCR reaction were used as templates for sequence- and ligation-independent cloning into antibody expression vectors. Recombinant monoclonal antibodies and Fabs were produced and purified as previously described (*55*). C121, C135 anti–SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, and isotype control anti–Zika virus antibody Z021 were previously published (*4*, *55*); the sequences of antibodies CV3-25 and S2P6 were derived from the literature [GenBank: MW681575.1 and MW681603.1 (*17*); PDB: 7RNJ (*16*)] and produced in-house starting from synthetic DNA (GenScript). The human IgG-like bispecific CoV-X4042 was designed on the basis of the variable regions of antibodies sd1.040 and rbd.042 in the CrossMAb format (*25*). Four pcDNA3.1(+) mammalian expression plasmids for CrossMAb production were synthesized (GenScript), used to transfect Expi293F cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a 1:1:1:1 ratio, and purified from the cell supernatants as previously described (*54*). All of the antibodies were tested to ensure functionality, stability, and batchto-batch reproducibility.

Cell lines

The $293T_{ACE2/TMPRSS2}$ cell line was generated by transfecting 293T_{ACE2} (55) cells with pCMV3-FLAG-TMPRSS2 (SinoBiological) using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) and selected with hygromycin B (200 μg/ml) (Invivogen) 2 days after transfection. 293T cells for pseudotyped virus production were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS. 293 T_{ACE2} cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% nonessential amino acids, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1× penicillin/streptomycin, and blasticidin (5 μg/ml). Vero cells

were from the American Type Culture Collection (CCL-81), and Expi293F and 293FT cells were from Thermo Fisher Scientific (#A14528 and R70007). hMyD88-expressing 293 cells (Invivogen) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, $1\times$ penicillin/streptomycin, and puromycin (10 μg/ml). Secreted embryonic AP (SEAP) reporter 293 cells expressing hACE2 (Invivogen) were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, $1\times$ penicillin/streptomycin, puromycin (1 μg/ml), and Zeocin (100 μg/ml).

SARS-CoV-2–**pseudotyped reporter viruses**

The generation of plasmids to express a C-terminally truncated SARS-CoV-2 S protein (pSARS-CoV2- S_{trunc}), the HIV-1 structural/regulatory proteins ($pHIV_{NL}G$ agPol), and the NanoLuc reporter construct (pCCNanoLuc2AEGFP) was previously described (*56*), and similar to the pSARS-CoV2- S_{trunc} plasmid for the Delta variant, they were gifted by P. Bieniasz and T. Hatziioannou (Rockefeller University, New York). Plasmids expressing Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, Omicron BA.1, BA.2, BA.2.75, BA.2.75.2, and $BA.4/BA.5$ SARS-CoV-2-S_{trunc} variants were generated in-house by site-directed mutagenesis (QuikChange Multi Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit, Agilent) starting from synthetic DNA (GenScript). The sequences corresponding to SARS-CoV-2 VOC were based on the following: Alpha (B1.1.7; GenBank QWE88920.1), Beta (B.1.351; GenBank QRN78347.1), Gamma (B.1.1.28.1; GenBank QRX39425.1), Delta (B.1.617.2; GenBank QWK65230), Omicron BA.1 (B.1.1.529; GenBank UFO69279.1), Omicron BA.2 (GenBank ULB15050.1), Omicron BA.2.75 (GenBank UTM82166.1), Omicron BA.2.75.2 (GenBank UTM82166.1 + R343T + F483S + D1196N), and Omicron BA.4/ BA.5 (GenBank UPP14409.1 + G3V). In all pseudoviruses, the intracellular domain was similarly truncated, and the S1/S2 furin cleavage site was unchanged. The generation of pseudotyped virus stocks was as previously described, with minor modifications (*51*). Briefly, 293T cells were transfected with $pHIV_{NL}GagPol$, pCCNanoLuc2AEGFP, and $pSARS-CoV2-S_{trunc}$ plasmids using PEI-MAX (Polysciences). At 24 hours after transfection, supernatants containing nonreplicating virions were harvested, filtered, and stored at −80°C. Infectivity was determined by titration on $293T_{ACE2}$ and $293T_{ACE2/TMPRSS2}$ cells.

Pseudotyped virus neutralization assay

The assay was performed as previously described (*56*). Briefly, three- or fourfold serially diluted monoclonal antibodies were incubated with the SARS-CoV-2–pseudotyped virus for 1 hour at 37°C. The mixture was subsequently incubated with $293T_{ACE2}$ or $293T_{ACE2/TMPRSS2}$ cells for 48 hours, after which the cells were washed once with PBS and lysed with Luciferase Cell Culture Lysis 5× reagent (Promega). NanoLuc Luciferase activity of lysates was then measured using the Nano-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega) with the GloMax Discover System reader (Promega). Relative luminescence units were then normalized to those derived from cells infected with SARS-CoV-2–pseudotyped virus in the absence of monoclonal antibodies. The IC_{50} value of monoclonal antibodies was determined using a four-parameter nonlinear regression curve fit (GraphPad Prism).

Inhibition of cell-cell fusion

Inhibition of spike-mediated cell-cell fusion was tested using an assay developed by Invivogen. Briefly, hMyD88-expressing 293 cells (Invivogen, catalog code 293-hmyd) were transfected with Wuhan pSARS-CoV2-S_{trunc} plasmid using jetOptimus (Polyplus). At 24 hours after transfection, cells were resuspended in complete media and incubated with antibodies (200 μg/ml) for 1 hour at 37°C before the addition of SEAP reporter 293 cells expressing hACE2 (Invivogen, catalog code hkb-hace2). Cells were cocultured for 24 hours, and cell-cell fusion was assessed by measuring SEAP activity into cell supernatant using QUANTI-Blue Solution (Invivogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Focus reduction neutralization tests

The assay was performed similarly to how it was previously described (*4*). Briefly, the day before infection, Vero cells were seeded at 1×10^4 cells per well in 96-well plates. The antibodies were diluted to final concentrations in DMEM supplemented with 10% newborn calf serum, penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (100 μg/ml), and 1% glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich, Prague, Czech Republic). Subsequently, the diluted samples were mixed with 1000 plaque-forming units (PFU) per well of ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (strain SARS-CoV-2/human/Czech Republic/951/2020) or Omicron (B.1.1.529-like; hCoV-19/Czech Republic/KNL_2021- 110119140/2021) and incubated at 37°C for 90 min. The antibody-virus mixture was then applied directly to Vero cells (multiplicity of infection of ~0.1 PFU per cell) and incubated for 22 hours at 37 $^{\circ}$ C and 5% CO₂. Cells were then fixed by cold acetone-methanol fixation (1:1, v/v) and blocked with 10% FBS. Cells were incubated with a rabbit (2019-nCoV) S1 antibody (1:50; Sino Biological, Duesseldorf, Germany) and then incubated for 1 hour at 37°C with secondary goat anti-rabbit antibodies conjugated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (1:250; Sigma-Aldrich, Prague, Czech Republic). Fluorescence intensity was measured using the Synergy H1 microplate reader (BioTek) with the following parameters: plate type (96 well plate), fluorescence (area scan) excitation/emission (490 nm/ 525 nm), optics (top) and gain (125), light source (xenon flash), lamp energy (high), reading speed (normal), delay (100 ms), and reading height (6 mm). For Omicron, fluorescent foci were manually counted using an Olympus IX71 epifluorescence microscope, and the numbers obtained were normalized to no-antibody control.

In vivo protection experiments

This study was performed in strict accordance with Czech laws and guidelines on the use of experimental animals and the protection of animals against cruelty (Animal Welfare Act No. 246/1992 Coll.). The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Experiments of the Institute of Parasitology, Institute of Molecular Genetics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, and by the Departmental Expert Committee for Approval of Projects of Experiments on Animals of the Czech Academy of Sciences (approvals 82/2020 and 101/2020). Thirteen- to 15-week-old female C57BL/6NCrl mice were ACE2-humanized by inhalation of a modified AAV (AAV-hACE2) as described previously (*54*). At least 7 days after the application of AAV-hACE2 virus particles, mice were intranasally infected with SARS-CoV-2 $[1 \times 10^4$ PFU; ancestral strain SARS-CoV-2/human/Czech Republic/951/2020 or Omicron B.1.1.529-like; hCoV-19/Czech Republic/KNL_2021-110119140/ 2021, both isolated from clinical specimens at the National Institute of Public Health, Prague; passaged five times (six times for Omicron) in Vero E6 cells before use in this study] in a total volume of 50 μl of DMEM. Twenty-four hours before (preexposure

prophylaxis) or 2 hours after (postexposure prophylaxis) infection, mice were injected intraperitoneally with either hr2.016, CoV-X4042 (both at 300 μg), fp.006 (500 μg in preexposure or 300 μg in postexposure), or isotype control (either at 300 or 500 μg). Mice were culled at the indicated time points after infection, and their tissues were collected for analysis. Lung tissue was homogenized using Mixer Mill MM400 (Retsch, Haan, Germany) and processed as a 20% (w/v) suspension in DMEM containing 10% newborn calf serum. Homogenates were clarified by centrifugation at 14,000*g* (10 min at 4°C), and supernatant medium was used for plaque assay as previously described (*54*).

No sample size calculation was performed. The sample sizes were chosen on the basis of experience and previously published papers [e.g., (*57*, *58*)]. Details about groups and sample sizes for mouse virus challenge studies are provided in the figure legends. Experiments were successfully repeated at least twice. No data were excluded. The mice were randomly assigned to cages, and the cages were then randomized into groups. Blinding was not relevant to this study. The readouts of all experiments could be assessed objectively. Mouse weight loss was determined using body weight measurement as a readout, and plaque assay was used to quantify viral burden.

X-ray crystallography

fp.006 Fab in 1× Tris-buffered saline (TBS) (20 mM Tris and 150 mM NaCl) was mixed with the FP (PBS with 10% DMSO; PSKRSFIEDLLFNKVTLADA with N-terminal Biotin-Ahx and Cterminal amidation) at a 1:2 molar ratio (Fab:peptide). The sample was incubated overnight at room temperature and then concentrated to ~8.8 mg/ml using an Amicon spin filter with a 30-kDa molecular weight cutoff (Millipore-Sigma) after diluting with an additional sample volume of 1× TBS to decrease the proportion of PBS and DMSO in the complex.

Crystallization trials were set up using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method by mixing equal volumes of fp.006-FP complex and reservoir using a Douglas Oryx8 robot and commercially available 96-well crystallization screens (Hampton Research). Crystals were grown at 16°C and observed under multiple conditions. The single crystal that was used for structure determination of fp.006- FP was obtained in 0.2 M potassium phosphate monobasic (pH 4.8) and 20% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 3350 and was cryoprotected in a solution matching the reservoir and 30% glycerol and then cryocooled in liquid nitrogen.

X-ray diffraction data were collected at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL) beamline 12-1 with an Eiger X 16M pixel detector (Dectris) at a wavelength of 0.979 Å and temperature of 100 K. Data from a single crystal were indexed and integrated in X-ray Detector Software (XDS)/Dials (*59*) and then merged using AIMLESS in CCP4 (*60*). Structures were determined using molecular replacement in PHASER (*61*) using two copies of each of the following individual chains as search models: V_H (variable region of Ig heavy chain; PDB: 4GXU chain M with CRH3 trimmed), V_L (variable region of Ig light chain; PDB: 6FG1 chain B with CDRL3 trimmed), C_H (PDB: 4GXU), and C_L (PDB: 6FG1). Coordinates were refined using iterative rounds of automated and interactive refinement in Phenix (*62*) and Coot (*63*), respectively. The final model contains 97.9% Ramachandran-favored residues, with 2.0% allowed and the remaining 0.1% Ramachandran outliers.

Detection of monoclonal antibody binding to S by flow cytometry

A total of 1.9×10^6 293T cells were plated in 60 mm-by-15 mm dishes (Corning, reference no. 430166) and cotransfected with two plasmids encoding green fluorescent protein (GFP) (2.25 μg) and the SARS-CoV-2 S protein (pSARS-CoV2-Strunc; 2.25 μg) using 18 μg of PEI-MAX as a transfection reagent 24 hours later. Forty hours upon transfection, cells were collected by gentle pipetting, and 50,000 transfected cells per well (in U-bottom 96-well plates; Corning, reference no. 3799) were subsequently stained with prelabeled monoclonal antibodies (10 μg/ml) in the presence or absence of human ACE2 (30 μg/ml) in a total volume of 100 μl of PBS supplemented with 5% FBS and 2 mM EDTA for 2 hours at room temperature, similar to a previous report (Fig. 2H) (*18*). In Fig. 4F, cells were preincubated with sd1.040 or Z021 (isotype) antibodies at a final concentration of $10 \mu g/ml$ for 30 min at room temperature before the addition of ACE2 and prelabeled fp.006. Fluorescent labeling of monoclonal antibodies was performed with the DY-647P1-NHS-ester reagent (Dyomics, reference no. 647P1-01) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After staining, cells were washed twice, acquired with BD FACSCanto, and analyzed with FlowJo software.

Cryo-EM sample preparation

Concentrated and purified sd1.040 Fab was mixed with SARS-CoV-2 S-2P trimer at a 1.1:1 molar ratio (Fab:trimer) to a final concentration of 3 mg/ml and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Immediately before the application of 3.1 μl of sample to a freshly glow-discharged 300-mesh Quantifoil R1.2/1.3 grid, fluorinated octyl-maltoside was added to a final concentration of 0.02% (w/ v). Complex was vitrified by plunging into 100% liquid ethane after blotting for 3.5 s with Whatman no. 1 filter paper at 22°C and 100% humidity using a Mark IV Vitrobot (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Cryo-EM data collection and processing

Single-particle movies were collected on a Titan Krios TEM (300 kV) using SerialEM automated data collection software (*64*) and a K3 camera (Gatan) behind a BioQuantum energy filter (Gatan) with a 20-eV slit size (0.85 Å/pixel). Specific collection parameters are summarized in table S2. Data processing followed a similar workflow as that previously described (*65*). Briefly, 9894 movies were patch motion–corrected for beam-induced motion including dose weighting within cryoSPARC v3.1 (*66*). CTF estimates were performed on non–dose-weighted micrographs, which were subsequently curated to remove poor fits and images with thick ice. An initial set of particles was generated using automated blob picking, of which a subset (300,000 particles) was used to generate four ab initio volumes. The entire particle stack (4,343,219 particles) was extracted, binned four times, and heterogeneously refined into the four ab initio volumes. Particles corresponding to the volume that best demonstrated features of a Fab bound to a protomer were cleaned up using two-dimensional (2D) classification and reextracted with 2× binning. The resulting particle stack (1,552,774 particles) was further 3D-classified in cryoSPARC $(k = 6)$. Particles corresponding to the 3D volumes with well-defined secondary structural features were pooled and homogeneously refined with C1 symmetry. After CTF refinement and application of a mask for focus refinement on the RBD-SD1-Fab regions, the final

reconstructed volume achieved a global resolution of 3.7 Å based on gold standard FSC calculations.

Cryo-EM structure modeling and refinement

Coordinates for the sd1.040–S1 protomer complex were generated by docking individual domains from reference structures (individual spike domains, PDB: 6VXX–chain A; Fab heavy chain, PDB: 5AZE–chain H; Fab light chain, PDB: 7D0D–chain L) into cryo-EM density using UCSF Chimera (*67*). Initial models were rigid body–refined into cryo-EM density, followed by real-space refinement with morphing in Phenix. Sequence matching was interactively performed in Coot, and models were further refined in Phenix. Validation of model coordinates was performed using MolProbity (*68*).

Structural analyses

CDR and somatic mutation assignments were produced by IMGT V-QUEST (*69*). Graphics describing structures were made in Chimera X (*70*). BSAs were calculated using the online PDBePISA server (). Contacting residues are defined as those with less than 4 Å of distance between atoms of different chains. Hydrogen bond assignments were made using a 3.5 Å cutoff and an A-D-H angle greater than 90°. Root mean square deviation calculations were performed in PyMOL (Schrödinger). Antibody residues are numbered according to the Kabat convention.

SPR assays

The IgG antibody or Fab binding properties were analyzed at 25°C using a Biacore 8K instrument (GE Healthcare) with 10 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, and 0.005% Tween 20 as running buffer. SARS-CoV-2 antigens (SD1-RBD or S-2P) were immobilized on the surface of CM5 chips (Cytiva) through standard amine coupling. Increasing concentrations of IgG/Fab were injected using a single-cycle kinetic setting, and dissociation was followed for 10 min. Analyte responses were corrected for unspecific binding and buffer responses. Curve fitting and data analysis were performed with Biacore Insight Evaluation Software v.2.0.15.12933. Competition experiments were performed to obtain information on the IgG/Fab binding regions. The first antibody was immobilized on the surface of CM5 chips (Cytiva) through standard amine coupling; SD1-RBD was then flowed to form the SD1-RBD/antibody complex, and shortly thereafter, the second antibody was injected. If a binding event is detected at the final step, then the second antibody has a different epitope compared with the first (immobilized) antibody. If no binding event is detected, the two antibodies share overlapping epitopes. Competition experiments were also used to confirm the functionality of both arms of the CoV-X4042 bispecific. First, sd1.040 or rbd.042 antibodies were immobilized on the surface of CM5 chips (Cytiva) through standard amine coupling; then, SD1-RBD was flowed to form the RBD-SD1/antibody complex, and shortly thereafter, CoV-X4042 was injected. The analysis and comparison of kinetic parameters at different SD1-RBD concentrations were also performed as previously described (*54*) to assess the ability of CoV-X4042 to bind bivalently to a single SD1-RBD molecule.

Statistical analyses

Statistical significance between two groups of mice was determined using nonparametric two-tailed Mann-Whitney *U* tests. For paired samples, we used the two-tailed *t* test. For *P* value calculation of Fig. 5C, we used the more stringent Welch's *t* test, two-tailed, which does not assume equal variance of the two samples. Correlation between plasma IgG reactivity to SARS-CoV-2 RBD and SD1- RBD was assessed using Pearson's correlation analysis. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. In the figures, significance is shown as follows: ns $P \geq 0.05$ (not significant), * $P < 0.05$, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001, and *****P* < 0.0001. Data and statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism (version 8.4.3).

Supplementary Materials

This PDF file includes: Figs. S1 to S8 Tables S1 and S2

Other Supplementary Material for this manuscript includes the following: Data files S1 to S3 MDAR Reproducibility Checklist

[View/request](https://en.bio-protocol.org/cjrap.aspx?eid=10.1126/sciimmunol.ade0958) a protocol for this paper from *Bio-protocol*.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. P. S. Masters, The molecular biology of coronaviruses. *Adv. Virus Res.* **66**, 193–292 (2006).
- 2. P. V'kovski, A. Kratzel, S. Steiner, H. Stalder, V. Thiel, Coronavirus biology and replication:
- Implications for SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **19**, 155–170 (2021). 3. C. B. Jackson, M. Farzan, B. Chen, H. Choe, Mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 entry into cells. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **23**, 3–20 (2022).
- 4. D. F. Robbiani, C. Gaebler, F. Muecksch, J. C. C. Lorenzi, Z. Wang, A. Cho, M. Agudelo, C. O. Barnes, A. Gazumyan, S. Finkin, T. Hägglöf, T. Y. Oliveira, C. Viant, A. Hurley, H.- H. Hoffmann, K. G. Millard, R. G. Kost, M. Cipolla, K. Gordon, F. Bianchini, S. T. Chen, V. Ramos, R. Patel, J. Dizon, I. Shimeliovich, P. Mendoza, H. Hartweger, L. Nogueira, M. Pack, J. Horowitz, F. Schmidt, Y. Weisblum, E. Michailidis, A. W. Ashbrook, E. Waltari, J. E. Pak, K. E. Huey-Tubman, N. Koranda, P. R. Hoffman, A. P. West Jr., C. M. Rice, T. Hatziioannou, P. J. Bjorkman, P. D. Bieniasz, M. Caskey, M. C. Nussenzweig, Convergent antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in convalescent individuals. *Nature* **584**, 437–442 (2020).
- 5. M. McCallum, A. De Marco, F. A. Lempp, M. A. Tortorici, D. Pinto, A. C. Walls, M. Beltramello, A. Chen, Z. Liu, F. Zatta, S. Zepeda, J. di Iulio, J. E. Bowen, M. Montiel-Ruiz, J. Zhou, L. E. Rosen, S. Bianchi, B. Guarino, C. S. Fregni, R. Abdelnabi, S.-Y. C. Foo, P. W. Rothlauf, L. M. Bloyet, F. Benigni, E. Cameroni, J. Neyts, A. Riva, G. Snell, A. Telenti, S. P. J. Whelan, H. W. Virgin, D. Corti, M. S. Pizzuto, D. Veesler, N-terminal domain antigenic mapping reveals a site of vulnerability for SARS-CoV-2. *Cell* **184**, 2332–2347.e16 (2021).
- 6. Z. Wang, F. Muecksch, A. Cho, C. Gaebler, H.-H. Hoffmann, V. Ramos, S. Zong, M. Cipolla, B. Johnson, F. Schmidt, J. DaSilva, E. Bednarski, T. Ben Tanfous, R. Raspe, K. Yao, Y. E. Lee, T. Chen, M. Turroja, K. G. Milard, J. Dizon, A. Kaczynska, A. Gazumyan, T. Y. Oliveira, C. M. Rice, M. Caskey, P. D. Bieniasz, T. Hatziioannou, C. O. Barnes, M. C. Nussenzweig, Analysis of memory B cells identifies conserved neutralizing epitopes on the N-terminal domain of variant SARS-Cov-2 spike proteins. *Immunity* **55**, 998–1012.e8 (2022).
- 7. S. Iketani, L. Liu, Y. Guo, L. Liu, J. F.-W. Chan, Y. Huang, M. Wang, Y. Luo, J. Yu, H. Chu, K. K.- H. Chik, T. T.-T. Yuen, M. T. Yin, M. E. Sobieszczyk, Y. Huang, K.-Y. Yuen, H. H. Wang, Z. Sheng, D. D. Ho, Antibody evasion properties of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron sublineages. *Nature* **604**, 553–556 (2022).
- 8. E. Takashita, N. Kinoshita, S. Yamayoshi, Y. Sakai-Tagawa, S. Fujisaki, M. Ito, K. Iwatsuki-Horimoto, P. Halfmann, S. Watanabe, K. Maeda, M. Imai, H. Mitsuya, N. Ohmagari, M. Takeda, H. Hasegawa, Y. Kawaoka, Efficacy of antiviral agents against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariant BA.2. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **386**, 1475–1477 (2022).
- 9. R. E. Chen, E. S. Winkler, J. B. Case, I. D. Aziati, T. L. Bricker, A. Joshi, T. L. Darling, B. Ying, J. M. Errico, S. Shrihari, L. A. VanBlargan, X. Xie, P. Gilchuk, S. J. Zost, L. Droit, Z. Liu, S. Stumpf, D. Wang, S. A. Handley, W. B. Stine Jr., P.-Y. Shi, M. E. Davis-Gardner, M. S. Suthar, M. G. Knight, R. Andino, C. Y. Chiu, A. H. Ellebedy, D. H. Fremont, S. P. J. Whelan, J. E. Crowe Jr., L. Purcell, D. Corti, A. C. M. Boon, M. S. Diamond, In vivo monoclonal antibody efficacy against SARS-CoV-2 variant strains. *Nature* **596**, 103–108 (2021).
- 10. M. McCallum, N. Czudnochowski, L. E. Rosen, S. K. Zepeda,J. E. Bowen, A. C. Walls, K. Hauser, A. Joshi, C. Stewart, J. R. Dillen, A. E. Powell, T. I. Croll, J. Nix, H. W. Virgin, D. Corti, G. Snell,

D. Veesler, Structural basis of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron immune evasion and receptor engagement. *Science* **375**, 864–868 (2022).

- 11. J. A. Lednicky, M. S. Tagliamonte, S. K. White, M. A. Elbadry, M. M. Alam, C. J. Stephenson, T. S. Bonny, J. C. Loeb, T. Telisma, S. Chavannes, D. A. Ostrov, C. Mavian, V. M. Beau De Rochars, M. Salemi, J. G. Morris Jr., Independent infections of porcine deltacoronavirus among Haitian children. *Nature* **600**, 133–137 (2021).
- 12. J. D. Zehr, S. L. K. Pond, D. P. Martin, K. Ceres, G. R. Whittaker, J. K. Millet, L. B. Goodman, M. J. Stanhope, Recent zoonotic spillover and tropism shift of a canine coronavirus is associated with relaxed selection and putative loss of function in NTD subdomain of spike protein. *Viruses* **14**, 853 (2022).
- 13. M. A. Tortorici, A. C. Walls, A. Joshi, Y.-J. Park, R. T. Eguia, M. C. Miranda, E. Kepl, A. Dosey, T. Stevens-Ayers, M. J. Boeckh, A. Telenti, A. Lanzavecchia, N. P. King, D. Corti, J. D. Bloom, D. Veesler, Structure, receptor recognition, and antigenicity of the human coronavirus CCoV-HuPn-2018 spike glycoprotein. *Cell* **185**, 2279–2291.e17 (2022).
- 14. S. Khare, C. Gurry, L. Freitas, M. B. Schultz, G. Bach, A. Diallo, N. Akite, J. Ho, R. T. Lee, W. Yeo; Gisaid Core Curation Team, S. Maurer-Stroh, GISAID's role in pandemic response. *China CDC Wkly.* **3**, 1049–1051 (2021).
- 15. A. N. Vlasova, A. Diaz, D. Damtie, L. Xiu, T.-H. Toh, J. S.-Y. Lee, L. J. Saif, G. C. Gray, Novel canine coronavirus isolated from a hospitalized patient with pneumonia in East Malaysia. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **74**, 446–454 (2022).
- 16. D. Pinto, M. M. Sauer, N. Czudnochowski, J. S. Low, M. A. Tortorici, M. P. Housley, J. Noack, A. C. Walls, J. E. Bowen, B. Guarino, L. E. Rosen, J. di Iulio, J. Jerak, H. Kaiser, S. Islam, S. Jaconi, N. Sprugasci, K. Culap, R. Abdelnabi, C. Foo, L. Coelmont, I. Bartha, S. Bianchi, C. Silacci-Fregni, J. Bassi, R. Marzi, E. Vetti, A. Cassotta, A. Ceschi, P. Ferrari, P. E. Cippà, O. Giannini, S. Ceruti, C. Garzoni, A. Riva, F. Benigni, E. Cameroni, L. Piccoli, M. S. Pizzuto, M. Smithey, D. Hong, A. Telenti, F. A. Lempp, J. Neyts, C. Havenar-Daughton, A. Lanzavecchia, F. Sallusto, G. Snell, H. W. Virgin, M. Beltramello, D. Corti, D. Veesler, Broad betacoronavirus neutralization by a stem helix–specific human antibody. *Science* **373**, 1109–1116 (2021).
- 17. M. F. Jennewein, A. J. MacCamy, N. R. Akins, J. Feng, L. J. Homad, N. K. Hurlburt, E. Seydoux, Y.-H. Wan, A. B. Stuart, V. V. Edara, K. Floyd, A. Vanderheiden, J. R. Mascola, N. Doria-Rose, L. Wang, E. S. Yang, H. Y. Chu, J. L. Torres, G. Ozorowski, A. B. Ward, R. E. Whaley, K. W. Cohen, M. Pancera, M. J. McElrath, J. A. Englund, A. Finzi, M. S. Suthar, A. T. McGuire, L. Stamatatos, Isolation and characterization of cross-neutralizing coronavirus antibodies from COVID-19+ subjects. *Cell Rep.* **36**, 109353 (2021).
- 18. J. S. Low, J. Jerak, M. A. Tortorici, M. McCallum, D. Pinto, A. Cassotta, M. Foglierini, F. Mele, R. Abdelnabi, B. Weynand, J. Noack, M. Montiel-Ruiz, S. Bianchi, F. Benigni, N. Sprugasci, A. Joshi, J. E. Bowen, C. Stewart, M. Rexhepaj, A. C. Walls, D. Jarrossay, D. Morone, P. Paparoditis, C. Garzoni, P. Ferrari, A. Ceschi, J. Neyts, L. A. Purcell, G. Snell, D. Corti, A. Lanzavecchia, D. Veesler, F. Sallusto, ACE2-binding exposes the SARS-CoV-2 fusion peptide to broadly neutralizing coronavirus antibodies. *Science* **377**, 735–742 (2022).
- 19. C. Dacon, C. Tucker, L. Peng, C.-C. D. Lee, T.-H. Lin, M. Yuan, Y. Cong, L. Wang, L. Purser, J. K. Williams, C.-W. Pyo, I. Kosik, Z. Hu, M. Zhao, D. Mohan, A. J. R. Cooper, M. Peterson, J. Skinner, S. Dixit, E. Kollins, L. Huzella, D. Perry, R. Byrum, S. Lembirik, D. Drawbaugh, B. Eaton, Y. Zhang, E. S. Yang, M. Chen, K. Leung, R. S. Weinberg, A. Pegu, D. E. Geraghty, E. Davidson, I. Douagi, S. Moir, J. W. Yewdell, C. Schmaljohn, P. D. Crompton, M. R. Holbrook, D. Nemazee, J. R. Mascola, I. A. Wilson, J. Tan, Broadly neutralizing antibodies target the coronavirus fusion peptide. *Science* **377**, 728–735 (2022).
- 20. X. Sun, C. Yi, Y. Zhu, L. Ding, S. Xia, X. Chen, M. Liu, C. Gu, X. Lu, Y. Fu, S. Chen, T. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Z. Yang, L. Ma, W. Gu, G. Hu, S. Du, R. Yan, W. Fu, S. Yuan, C. Qiu, C. Zhao, X. Zhang, Y. He, A. Qu, X. Zhou, X. Li, G. Wong, Q. Deng, Q. Zhou, H. Lu, Z. Ling, J. Ding, L. Lu, J. Xu, Y. Xie, B. Sun, Neutralization mechanism of a human antibody with pan-coronavirus reactivity including SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Microbiol.* **7**, 1063–1074 (2022).
- 21. J. Jaimes, J. Millet, G. Whittaker, Proteolytic cleavage of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and the role of the novel S1/S2 site. *iScience* **23**, 101212 (2020).
- 22. M.-M. Zhao, W.-L. Yang, F.-Y. Yang, L. Zhang, W.-J. Huang, W. Hou, C.-F. Fan, R.-H. Jin, Y.- M. Feng, Y.-C. Wang, J.-K. Yang, Cathepsin L plays a key role in SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans and humanized mice and is a promising target for new drug development. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **6**, 134 (2021).
- 23. J. Seow, H. Khan, A. Rosa, V. Calvaresi, C. Graham, S. Pickering, V. E. Pye, N. B. Cronin, I. Huettner, M. H. Malim, A. Politis, P. Cherepanov, K. J. Doores, A neutralizing epitope on the SD1 domain of SARS-CoV-2 spike targeted following infection and vaccination. *Cell Rep.* **40**, 111276 (2022).
- 24. Q. Hong, W. Han, J. Li, S. Xu, Y. Wang, C. Xu, Z. Li, Y. Wang, C. Zhang, Z. Huang, Y. Cong, Molecular basis of receptor binding and antibody neutralization of Omicron. *Nature* **604**, 546–552 (2022).
- 25. W. Schaefer, J. T. Regula, M. Bähner, J. Schanzer, R. Croasdale, H. Dürr, C. Gassner, G. Georges, H. Kettenberger, S. Imhof-Jung, M. Schwaiger, K. G. Stubenrauch, C. Sustmann, M. Thomas, W. Scheuer, C. Klein, Immunoglobulin domain crossover as a generic approach for the production of bispecific IgG antibodies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 11187–11192 (2011).
- 26. D. Corti, L. A. Purcell, G. Snell, D. Veesler, Tackling COVID-19 with neutralizing monoclonal antibodies. *Cell* **184**, 3086–3108 (2021).
- 27. P. Chen, A. Nirula, B. Heller, R. L. Gottlieb, J. Boscia, J. Morris, G. Huhn, J. Cardona, B. Mocherla, V. Stosor, I. Shawa, A. C. Adams, J. Van Naarden, K. L. Custer, L. Shen, M. Durante, G. Oakley, A. E. Schade, J. Sabo, D. R. Patel, P. Klekotka, D. M. Skovronsky; BLAZE-1 Investigators, SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody LY-CoV555 in outpatients with Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **384**, 229–237 (2021).
- 28. R. L. Gottlieb, A. Nirula, P. Chen, J. Boscia, B. Heller, J. Morris, G. Huhn, J. Cardona, B. Mocherla, V. Stosor, I. Shawa, P. Kumar, A. C. Adams, J. Van Naarden, K. L. Custer, M. Durante, G. Oakley, A. E. Schade, T. R. Holzer, P. J. Ebert, R. E. Higgs, N. L. Kallewaard, J. Sabo, D. R. Patel, P. Klekotka, L. Shen, D. M. Skovronsky, Effect of bamlanivimab as monotherapy or in combination with etesevimab on viral load in patients with mild to moderate COVID-19: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* **325**, 632–644 (2021).
- 29. D. M. Weinreich, S. Sivapalasingam, T. Norton, S. Ali, H. Gao, R. Bhore, B. J. Musser, Y. Soo, D. Rofail, J. Im, C. Perry, C. Pan, R. Hosain, A. Mahmood, J. D. Davis, K. C. Turner, A. T. Hooper, J. D. Hamilton, A. Baum, C. A. Kyratsous, Y. Kim, A. Cook, W. Kampman, A. Kohli, Y. Sachdeva, X. Graber, B. Kowal, T. DiCioccio, N. Stahl, L. Lipsich, N. Braunstein, G. Herman, G. D. Yancopoulos; Trial Investigators, REGN-COV2, a neutralizing antibody cocktail, in outpatients with Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **384**, 238–251 (2021).
- 30. A. Gupta, Y. Gonzalez-Rojas, E. Juarez, M. Crespo Casal, J. Moya, D. R. Falci, E. Sarkis, J. Solis, H. Zheng, N. Scott, A. L. Cathcart, C. M. Hebner, J. Sager, E. Mogalian, C. Tipple, A. Peppercorn, E. Alexander, P. S. Pang, A. Free, C. Brinson, M. Aldinger, A. E. Shapiro; COMET-ICE Investigators, Early treatment for Covid-19 with SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody sotrovimab. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **385**, 1941–1950 (2021).
- 31. M. Hoffmann, N. Krüger, S. Schulz, A. Cossmann, C. Rocha, A. Kempf, I. Nehlmeier, L. Graichen, A.-S. Moldenhauer, M. S. Winkler, M. Lier, A. Dopfer-Jablonka, H.-M. Jäck, G. M. N. Behrens, S. Pöhlmann, The Omicron variant is highly resistant against antibodymediated neutralization: Implications for control of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cell* **185**, 447–456.e11 (2022).
- 32. E. C. Thomson, L. E. Rosen, J. G. Shepherd, R. Spreafico, A. da Silva Filipe, J. A. Wojcechowskyj, C. Davis, L. Piccoli, D. J. Pascall, J. Dillen, S. Lytras, N. Czudnochowski, R. Shah, M. Meury, N. Jesudason, A. De Marco, K. Li, J. Bassi, A. O'Toole, D. Pinto, R. M. Colquhoun, K. Culap, B. Jackson, F. Zatta, A. Rambaut, S. Jaconi, V. B. Sreenu, J. Nix, I. Zhang, R. F. Jarrett, W. G. Glass, M. Beltramello, K. Nomikou, M. Pizzuto, L. Tong, E. Cameroni, T. I. Croll, N. Johnson, J. Di Iulio, A. Wickenhagen, A. Ceschi, A. M. Harbison, D. Mair, P. Ferrari, K. Smollett, F. Sallusto, S. Carmichael, C. Garzoni, J. Nichols, M. Galli, J. Hughes, A. Riva, A. Ho, M. Schiuma, M. G. Semple, P. J. M. Openshaw, E. Fadda, J. K. Baillie, J. D. Chodera; ISARIC4C Investigators; COVID-19 Genomics UK (COG-UK) Consortium, S. J. Rihn, S. J. Lycett, H. W. Virgin, A. Telenti, D. Corti, D. L. Robertson, G. Snell, Circulating SARS-CoV-2 spike N439K variants maintain fitness while evading antibody-mediated immunity. *Cell.* **184**, 1171–1187.e20 (2021).
- 33. K. R. McCarthy, L. J. Rennick, S. Nambulli, L. R. Robinson-McCarthy, W. G. Bain, G. Haidar, W. P. Duprex, Recurrent deletions in the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein drive antibody escape. *Science* **371**, 1139–1142 (2021).
- 34. K. Westendorf, S. Žentelis, L. Wang, D. Foster, P. Vaillancourt, M. Wiggin, E. Lovett, R. van der Lee, J. Hendle, A. Pustilnik, J. M. Sauder, L. Kraft, Y. Hwang, R. W. Siegel, J. Chen, B. A. Heinz, R. E. Higgs, N. L. Kallewaard, K. Jepson, R. Goya, M. A. Smith, D. W. Collins, D. Pellacani, P. Xiang, V. de Puyraimond, M. Ricicova, L. Devorkin, C. Pritchard, A. O'Neill, K. Dalal, P. Panwar, H. Dhupar, F. A. Garces, C. A. Cohen, J. M. Dye, K. E. Huie, C. V. Badger, D. Kobasa, J. Audet, J. J. Freitas, S. Hassanali, I. Hughes, L. Munoz, H. C. Palma, B. Ramamurthy, R. W. Cross, T. W. Geisbert, V. Menachery, K. Lokugamage, V. Borisevich, I. Lanz, L. Anderson, P. Sipahimalani, K. S. Corbett, E. S. Yang, Y. Zhang, W. Shi, T. Zhou, M. Choe, J. Misasi, P. D. Kwong, N. J. Sullivan, B. S. Graham, T. L. Fernandez, C. L. Hansen, E. Falconer, J. R. Mascola, B. E. Jones, B. C. Barnhart, LY-CoV1404 (bebtelovimab) potently neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Cell Rep.* **39**, 110812 (2022).
- 35. A. A. Cohen, P. N. P. Gnanapragasam, Y. E. Lee, P. R. Hoffman, S. Ou, L. M. Kakutani, J. R. Keeffe, H.-J. Wu, M. Howarth, A. P. West, C. O. Barnes, M. C. Nussenzweig, P. J. Bjorkman, Mosaic nanoparticles elicit cross-reactive immune responses to zoonotic coronaviruses in mice. *Science* **371**, 735–741 (2021).
- 36. A. A. Cohen, N. van Doremalen, A.J. Greaney, H. Andersen, A. Sharma, T. N. Starr, J. R. Keeffe, C. Fan, J. E. Schulz, P. N. P. Gnanapragasam, L. M. Kakutani, A. P. West, G. Saturday, Y. E. Lee, H. Gao, C. A. Jette, M. G. Lewis, T. K. Tan, A. R. Townsend, J. D. Bloom, V. J. Munster, P. J. Bjorkman, Mosaic RBD nanoparticles protect against challenge by diverse sarbecoviruses in animal models. *Science* **377**, eabq0839 (2022).
- 37. C. A. Jette, A. A. Cohen, P. N. P. Gnanapragasam, F. Muecksch, Y. E. Lee, K. E. Huey-Tubman, F. Schmidt, T. Hatziioannou, P. D. Bieniasz, M. C. Nussenzweig, A. P. West Jr., J. R. Keeffe, P. J. Bjorkman, C. O. Barnes, Broad cross-reactivity across sarbecoviruses exhibited by a subset of COVID-19 donor-derived neutralizing antibodies. *Cell Rep.* **36**, 109760 (2021).
- 38. D. R. Martinez, A. Schäfer, S. Gobeil, D. Li, G. De la Cruz, R. Parks, X. Lu, M. Barr, V. Stalls, K.Janowska, E. Beaudoin, K. Manne, K. Mansouri, R.J. Edwards, K. Cronin, B. Yount, K. Anasti,

Downloaded from https://www.science.org on May 29, 2023

 \overline{u} l May' 29,

Downloaded from https://www.science.org

S. A. Montgomery, J. Tang, H. Golding, S. Shen, T. Zhou, P. D. Kwong, B. S. Graham, J. R. Mascola, D. C. Montefiori, S. M. Alam, G. D. Sempowski, S. Khurana, K. Wiehe, K. O. Saunders, P. Acharya, B. F. Haynes, R. S. Baric, A broadly cross-reactive antibody neutralizes and protects against sarbecovirus challenge in mice. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **14**, eabj7125 (2021).

- 39. A. C. Walls, M. C. Miranda, A. Schäfer, M. N. Pham, A. Greaney, P. S. Arunachalam, M.- J. Navarro, M. A. Tortorici, K. Rogers, M. A. O'Connor, L. Shirreff, D. E. Ferrell, J. Bowen, N. Brunette, E. Kepl, S. K. Zepeda, T. Starr, C.-L. Hsieh, B. Fiala, S. Wrenn, D. Pettie, C. Sydeman, K. R. Sprouse, M. Johnson, A. Blackstone, R. Ravichandran, C. Ogohara, L. Carter, S. W. Tilles, R. Rappuoli, S. R. Leist, D. R. Martinez, M. Clark, R. Tisch, D. T. O'Hagan, R. Van Der Most, W. C. V. Voorhis, D. Corti, J. S. McLellan, H. Kleanthous, T. P. Sheahan, K. D. Smith, D. H. Fuller, F. Villinger, J. Bloom, B. Pulendran, R. S. Baric, N. P. King, D. Veesler, Elicitation of broadly protective sarbecovirus immunity by receptor-binding domain nanoparticle vaccines. *Cell* **184**, 5432–5447.e16 (2021).
- 40. C. Fan, A. A. Cohen, M. Park, A. F.-H. Hung, J. R. Keeffe, P. N. P. Gnanapragasam, Y. E. Lee, H. Gao, L. M. Kakutani, Z. Wu, H. Kleanthous, K. E. Malecek, J. C. Williams, P. J. Bjorkman, Neutralizing monoclonal antibodies elicited by mosaic RBD nanoparticles bind conserved sarbecovirus epitopes. *Immunity* **55**, 2419–2435.e10 (2022).
- 41. Y. Chen, X. Zhao, H. Zhou, H. Zhu, S. Jiang, P. Wang, Broadly neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and other human coronaviruses. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.*, 10.1038/s41577-022- 00784-3 (2022).
- 42. P. Zhou, M. Yuan, G. Song, N. Beutler, N. Shaabani, D. Huang, W.-T. He, X. Zhu, S. Callaghan, P. Yong, F. Anzanello, L. Peng, J. Ricketts, M. Parren, E. Garcia, S. A. Rawlings, D. M. Smith, D. Nemazee, J. R. Teijaro, T. F. Rogers, I. A. Wilson, D. R. Burton, R. Andrabi, A human antibody reveals a conserved site on beta-coronavirus spike proteins and confers protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **14**, eabi9215 (2022).
- 43. N. L. Kallewaard, D. Corti, P. J. Collins, U. Neu, J. M. McAuliffe, E. Benjamin, L. Wachter-Rosati, F. J. Palmer-Hill, A. Q. Yuan, P. A. Walker, M. K. Vorlaender, S. Bianchi, B. Guarino, A. D. Marco, F. Vanzetta, G. Agatic, M. Foglierini, D. Pinna, B. Fernandez-Rodriguez, A. Fruehwirth, C. Silacci, R. W. Ogrodowicz, S. R. Martin, F. Sallusto, J. A. Suzich, A. Lanzavecchia, Q. Zhu, S. J. Gamblin, J. J. Skehel, Structure and function analysis of an antibody recognizing all influenza A subtypes. *Cell* **166**, 596–608 (2016).
- 44. R. Kong, K. Xu, T. Zhou, P. Acharya, T. Lemmin, K. Liu, G. Ozorowski, C. Soto, J. D. Taft, R. T. Bailer, E. M. Cale, L. Chen, C. W. Choi, G.-Y. Chuang, N. A. Doria-Rose, A. Druz, I. S. Georgiev, J. Gorman, J. Huang, M. G. Joyce, M. K. Louder, X. Ma, K. McKee, S. O'Dell, M. Pancera, Y. Yang, S. C. Blanchard, W. Mothes, D. R. Burton, W. C. Koff, M. Connors, A. B. Ward, P. D. Kwong, J. R. Mascola, Fusion peptide of HIV-1 as a site of vulnerability to neutralizing antibody. *Science* **352**, 828–833 (2016).
- 45. K. Xu, P. Acharya, R. Kong, C. Cheng, G.-Y. Chuang, K. Liu, M. K. Louder, S. O'Dell, R. Rawi, M. Sastry, C.-H. Shen, B. Zhang, T. Zhou, M. Asokan, R. T. Bailer, M. Chambers, X. Chen, C. W. Choi, V. P. Dandey, N. A. Doria-Rose, A. Druz, E. T. Eng, S. K. Farney, K. E. Foulds, H. Geng, I. S. Georgiev, J. Gorman, K. R. Hill, A. J. Jafari, Y. D. Kwon, Y.-T. Lai, T. Lemmin, K. McKee, T. Y. Ohr, L. Ou, D. Peng, A. P. Rowshan, Z. Sheng, J.-P. Todd, Y. Tsybovsky, E. G. Viox, Y. Wang, H. Wei, Y. Yang, A. F. Zhou, R. Chen, L. Yang, D. G. Scorpio, A. B. McDermott, L. Shapiro, B. Carragher, C. S. Potter, J. R. Mascola, P. D. Kwong, Epitope-based vaccine design yields fusion peptide-directed antibodies that neutralize diverse strains of HIV-1. *Nat. Med.* **24**, 857–867 (2018).
- 46. Y. Yuan, D. Cao, Y. Zhang, J. Ma, J. Qi, Q. Wang, G. Lu, Y. Wu, J. Yan, Y. Shi, X. Zhang, G. F. Gao, Cryo-EM structures of MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV spike glycoproteins reveal the dynamic receptor binding domains. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15092 (2017).
- 47. A. C. Walls, X. Xiong, Y.-J. Park, M. A. Tortorici, J. Snijder, J. Quispe, E. Cameroni, R. Gopal, M. Dai, A. Lanzavecchia, M. Zambon, F. A. Rey, D. Corti, D. Veesler, Unexpected receptor functional mimicry elucidates activation of coronavirus fusion. *Cell* **176**, 1026–1039.e15 (2019).
- 48. Y. Shu, J. McCauley, GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data from vision to reality. *Euro Surveill.* **22**, 30494 (2017).
- 49. B. T. Foley, B. T. M. Korber, T. K. Leitner, C. Apetrei, B. Hahn, I. Mizrachi, J. Mullins, A. Rambaut, S. Wolinsky,"HIV sequence compendium 2018" (Technical Report LA-UR-18-25673, Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), 2018).
- 50. L. Casalino, Z. Gaieb, J. A. Goldsmith, C. K. Hjorth, A. C. Dommer, A. M. Harbison, C. A. Fogarty, E. P. Barros, B. C. Taylor, J. S. McLellan, E. Fadda, R. E. Amaro, Beyond shielding: The roles of glycans in the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **6**, 1722–1734 (2020).
- 51. International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses Executive Committee, The new scope of virus taxonomy: Partitioning the virosphere into 15 hierarchical ranks. *Nat. Microbiol.* **5**, 668–674 (2020).
- 52. C. L. Schoch, S. Ciufo, M. Domrachev, C. L. Hotton, S. Kannan, R. Khovanskaya, D. Leipe, R. Mcveigh, K. O'Neill, B. Robbertse, S. Sharma, V. Soussov, J. P. Sullivan, L. Sun, S. Turner, I. Karsch-Mizrachi, NCBI Taxonomy: A comprehensive update on curation, resources and tools. *Database (Oxford)* **2020**, baaa062 (2020).
- 53. A. Dereeper, V. Guignon, G. Blanc, S. Audic, S. Buffet, F. Chevenet, J.-F. Dufayard, S. Guindon, V. Lefort, M. Lescot, J.-M. Claverie, O. Gascuel, Phylogeny.fr: Robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**, W465–W469 (2008).
- 54. R. De Gasparo, M. Pedotti, L. Simonelli, P. Nickl, F. Muecksch, I. Cassaniti, E. Percivalle, J. C. C. Lorenzi, F. Mazzola, D. Magrì, T. Michalcikova, J. Haviernik, V. Honig, B. Mrazkova, N. Polakova, A. Fortova, J. Tureckova, V. Iatsiuk, S. Di Girolamo, M. Palus, D. Zudova, P. Bednar, I. Bukova, F. Bianchini, D. Mehn, R. Nencka, P. Strakova, O. Pavlis, J. Rozman, S. Gioria, J. C. Sammartino, F. Giardina, S. Gaiarsa, Q. Pan-Hammarström, C. O. Barnes, P. J. Bjorkman, L. Calzolai, A. Piralla, F. Baldanti, M. C. Nussenzweig, P. D. Bieniasz, T. Hatziioannou, J. Prochazka, R. Sedlacek, D. F. Robbiani, D. Ruzek, L. Varani, Bispecific IgG neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 variants and prevents escape in mice. *Nature* **593**, 424–428 (2021).
- 55. D. F. Robbiani, L. Bozzacco, J. R. Keeffe, R. Khouri, P. C. Olsen, A. Gazumyan, D. Schaefer-Babajew, S. Avila-Rios, L. Nogueira, R. Patel, S. A. Azzopardi, L. F. Uhl, M. Saeed, E. E. Sevilla-Reyes, M. Agudelo, K.-H. Yao, J. Golijanin, H. B. Gristick, A. Hurley, M. Caskey, J. Pai, T. Oliveira, E. A. Wunder, G. Sacramento, N. Nery Jr., C. Orge, F. Costa, M. G. Reis, N. M. Thomas, T. Eisenreich, D. M. Weinberger, A. R. P. de Almeida, A. P. West Jr., C. M. Rice, P. J. Bjorkman, G. Reyes-Teran, A. I. Ko, M. R. MacDonald, M. C. Nussenzweig, Recurrent potent human neutralizing antibodies to Zika virus in Brazil and Mexico. *Cell* **169**, 597–609.e11 (2017).
- 56. F. Schmidt, Y. Weisblum, F. Muecksch, H.-H. Hoffmann, E. Michailidis, J. C. C. Lorenzi, P. Mendoza, M. Rutkowska, E. Bednarski, C. Gaebler, M. Agudelo, A. Cho, Z. Wang, A. Gazumyan, M. Cipolla, M. Caskey, D. F. Robbiani, M. C. Nussenzweig, C. M. Rice, T. Hatziioannou, P. D. Bieniasz, Measuring SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody activity using pseudotyped and chimeric viruses. *J. Exp. Med.* **217**, e20201181 (2020).
- 57. S. J. Zost, P. Gilchuk, J. B. Case, E. Binshtein, R. E. Chen, J. P. Nkolola, A. Schäfer, J. X. Reidy, A. Trivette, R. S. Nargi, R. E. Sutton, N. Suryadevara, D. R. Martinez, L. E. Williamson, E. C. Chen, T. Jones, S. Day, L. Myers, A. O. Hassan, N. M. Kafai, E. S. Winkler, J. M. Fox, S. Shrihari, B. K. Mueller, J. Meiler, A. Chandrashekar, N. B. Mercado, J. J. Steinhardt, K. Ren, Y.-M. Loo, N. L. Kallewaard, B. T. Mc Cune, S. P. Keeler, M. J. Holtzman, D. H. Barouch, L. E. Gralinski, R. S. Baric, L. B. Thackray, M. S. Diamond, R. H. Carnahan, J. E. Crowe Jr., Potently neutralizing and protective human antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* **584**, 443–449 (2020).
- 58. A. O. Hassan, J. B. Case, E. S. Winkler, L. B. Thackray, N. M. Kafai, A. L. Bailey, B. T. McCune, J. M. Fox, R. E. Chen, W. B. Alsoussi, J. S. Turner, A. J. Schmitz, T. Lei, S. Shrihari, S. P. Keeler, D. H. Fremont, S. Greco, P. B. McCray Jr., S. Perlman, M. J. Holtzman, A. H. Ellebedy, M. S. Diamond, A SARS-CoV-2 infection model in mice demonstrates protection by neutralizing antibodies. *Cell* **182**, 744–753.e4 (2020).
- 59. W. Kabsch, Integration, scaling, space-group assignment and post-refinement. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 133–144 (2010).
- 60. M. D. Winn, C. C. Ballard, K. D. Cowtan, E. J. Dodson, P. Emsley, P. R. Evans, R. M. Keegan, E. B. Krissinel, A. G. W. Leslie, A. McCoy, S. J. McNicholas, G. N. Murshudov, N. S. Pannu, E. A. Potterton, H. R. Powell, R. J. Read, A. Vagin, K. S. Wilson, Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **67**, 235–242 (2011).
- 61. A. J. McCoy, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, P. D. Adams, M. D. Winn, L. C. Storoni, R. J. Read, Phase crystallographic software. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **40**, 658–674 (2007).
- 62. P. D. Adams, P. V. Afonine, G. Bunkóczi, V. B. Chen, I. W. Davis, N. Echols, J. J. Headd, L.- W. Hung, G. J. Kapral, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, A. J. McCoy, N. W. Moriarty, R. Oeffner, R. J. Read, D. C. Richardson, J. S. Richardson, T. C. Terwilliger, P. H. Zwart, *PHENIX*: A comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecularstructure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 213–221 (2010).
- 63. P. Emsley, B. Lohkamp, W. G. Scott, K. Cowtan, Features and development of *Coot*. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 486–501 (2010).
- 64. D. N. Mastronarde, Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of specimen movements. *J. Struct. Biol.* **152**, 36–51 (2005).
- 65. Z. Wang, F. Muecksch, A. Cho, C. Gaebler, H.-H. Hoffmann, V. Ramos, S. Zong, M. Cipolla, B. Johnson, F. Schmidt, J. DaSilva, E. Bednarski, T. Ben Tanfous, R. Raspe, K. Yao, Y. E. Lee, T. Chen, M. Turroja, K. G. Milard, J. Dizon, A. Kaczynska, A. Gazumyan, T. Y. Oliveira, C. M. Rice, M. Caskey, P. D. Bieniasz, T. Hatziioannou, C. O. Barnes, M. C. Nussenzweig, Analysis of memory B cells identifies conserved neutralizing epitopes on the N-terminal domain of variant SARS-Cov-2 spike proteins. *Immunity* **55**, 998–1012.e8 (2022).
- 66. A. Punjani, J. L. Rubinstein, D. J. Fleet, M. A. Brubaker, cryoSPARC: Algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 290–296 (2017).
- 67. T. D. Goddard, C. C. Huang, T. E. Ferrin, Visualizing density maps with UCSF Chimera. *J. Struct. Biol.* **157**, 281–287 (2007).
- 68. V. B. Chen, W. B. Arendall III, J. J. Headd, D. A. Keedy, R. M. Immormino, G. J. Kapral, L. W. Murray, J. S. Richardson, D. C. Richardson, MolProbity: All-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 12–21 (2010).
- 69. X. Brochet, M.-P. Lefranc, V. Giudicelli, IMGT/V-QUEST: The highly customized and integrated system for IG and TR standardized V-J and V-D-Jsequence analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**, W503–W508 (2008).
- 70. T. D. Goddard, C. C. Huang, E. C. Meng, E. F. Pettersen, G. S. Couch, J. H. Morris, T. E. Ferrin, UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. *Protein Sci.* **27**, 14–25 (2018).
- 71. E. Krissinel, K. Henrick, Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. *J. Mol. Biol.* **372**, 774–797 (2007).

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to all study participants and families, as well as to the medical personnel of the Clinica Luganese Moncucco. We further thank T. Hatziioannou and P. Bieniasz (Rockefeller University) for sharing plasmids and protocols for SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus; D. Morone, I. Cassaniti, J. C. Sammartino, J. Kamis, and M. Spevakova for technical assistance; H. Jirincova (National Institute of Public Health, Prague) for providing virus isolates; P. Strakova for help with virus cultivation; N. Rezaei and Z. Chavoshzadeh (Tehran University of Medical Sciences) for contribution to the collection of vaccinated samples; and P. Bjorkman (California Institute of Technology) for sharing CoV expression plasmids. For the IMMUNO_COV study, we acknowledge the contribution of F. Montagnani, M. Fabbrini, I. Rancan, G. Pastore, J. Polvere, and S. Zirpoli (University of Siena and University Hospital of Siena) for participants' enrollment, clinical sample collection, and processing. Cryo-EM data for this work were collected at the Stanford-SLAC cryo-EM center with support from E. Montabana. X-ray crystallographic data were collected at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, which is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under contract no. DE-AC02-76SF00515. The SSRL Structural Molecular Biology Program is supported by the DOE Office of Biological and Environmental Research and by the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of General Medical Sciences (P30GM133894). **Funding:** This study was supported in part by the Swiss Vaccine Research Institute (SVRI); George Mason University Fast Grant; Fondation Philanthropique Famille Sandoz; NIH grants U01 AI151698 (United World Antiviral Research Network, UWARN), P01 AI138938, and U19 AI111825 (to D.F.R.); European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no. 101003650: Antibody Therapy Against Coronavirus—ATAC (to Q.P.-H., H.M., F.Baldanti, L.C., L.V., and D.F.R.); BRIDGE 40B2- 0_203488 (to A. Cavalli and D.F.R.); Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena (to D. Medaglini); EU Joint Research Centre Exploratory Research program (project "FUTURE," to G.G.); Swedish Research Council and Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (to Q.P.-H.); Czech Ministry of Agriculture (RVO0518 to D.R.); National Institute of Virology and Bacteriology, Programme EXCELES, project no. LX22NPO5103 funded by the European Union-Next Generation EU (to D.R.); Strategy of the Czech Academy of Sciences, AV21-Virology and Antiviral Therapy (to D.R. and R.S.); Czech Science Foundation and Swiss National Science Foundation (21-05445L to D.R. and D.F.R.); 22-17139K by Czech Science Foundation (to R.S.); Czech Centre

for Phenogenomics provided by Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic (LM2018126 to R.S.); and Czech Academy of Sciences (RVO68378050 to R.S.). A. Cavalli thanks F. Gruner for support. C.O.B. is supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute Hanna Gray Fellowship and is a Chan Zuckerberg Biohub investigator. **Author contributions:** F. Bianchini., V. Crivelli, A. Cavalli, C.O.B., and D.F.R. conceived the study. F. Bianchini, V. Crivelli, M.E.A., C. Guerra, M. Palus, M. Pedotti, L.C., R.S., D.R., L.V., A. Cavalli, C.O.B., and D.F.R. designed and analyzed the experiments. A.F.-P., M.U., D.F.R., and C. Garzoni designed human subject research protocols. F. Bianchini, V. Crivelli, M.E.A., C. Guerra, M. Palus, M. Pedotti, R.D.G., L.S., M.M., T.C.R., J.M., L.P., A.A.S., A. Celoria, M.S., V.H., V.P., T.M., J.P., G.G., A. Ciabattini, D.J., J.S., D.F., D. Mehn, P.S., and T.F. produced reagents and carried out experiments. H.M., A.P., H.A., D. Medaglini, Q.P.-H., and F. Baldanti contributed reagents and samples. M.B., V. Calvaruso, and A.F.-P. recruited participants and executed human subject research protocols. C.T. processed human samples. M.E.A. and C.O.B. performed structural analysis. V. Crivelli, L.S., M.M., L.V., and A. Cavalli performed bioinformatic analysis. F. Bianchini, V. Crivelli, M.E.A., C.O.B., and D.F.R. wrote the manuscript with input from all coauthors. **Competing interests:** The Institute for Research in Biomedicine has filed a provisional patent application in connection with this work on which F. Bianchini, V. Crivelli, L.V., A. Cavalli, and D.F.R. are inventors. **Data and materials availability:** The script for coldspot identification is available at GitHub ([https://github.com/cavallilab/](https://github.com/cavallilab/coldspot) [coldspot\)](https://github.com/cavallilab/coldspot). The atomic models and corresponding maps for all structures are available online under the following accession codes. For fp.006-FP, the model and structure factor files are available in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) under accession code 8D47. For sd1.040-S, the model and cryo-EM maps are available both in the PDB and Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB) under codes 8D48 and EMD-27177, respectively. Requests for materials should be addressed to D.F.R.; distribution may require a material transfer agreement. All data other needed to support the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper or the Supplementary Materials. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). This license does not apply to figures/photos/artwork or other content included in the article that is credited to a third party; obtain authorization from the rights holder before using such material.

Submitted 24 July 2022 Accepted 23 January 2023 Published First Release 26 January 2023 Final published 10 March 2023 10.1126/sciimmunol.ade0958

Science Immunology

Human neutralizing antibodies to cold linear epitopes and subdomain 1 of the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein

Filippo Bianchini, Virginia Crivelli, Morgan E. Abernathy, Concetta Guerra, Martin Palus, Jonathan Muri, Harold Marcotte, Antonio Piralla, Mattia Pedotti, Raoul De Gasparo, Luca Simonelli, Milos Matkovic, Chiara Toscano, Maira Biggiogero, Veronica Calvaruso, Pavel Svoboda, Toms Cervantes Rincn, Tommaso Fava, Lucie Podevov, Akanksha A. Shanbhag, Andrea Celoria, Jacopo Sgrignani, Michal Stefanik, Vaclav Hnig, Veronika Pranclova, Tereza Michalcikova, Jan Prochazka, Giuditta Guerrini, Dora Mehn, Annalisa Ciabattini, Hassan Abolhassani, David Jarrossay, Mariagrazia Uguccioni, Donata Medaglini, Qiang Pan-Hammarstrm, Luigi Calzolai, Daniel Fernandez, Fausto Baldanti, Alessandra Franzetti-Pellanda, Christian Garzoni, Radislav Sedlacek, Daniel Ruzek, Luca Varani, Andrea Cavalli, Christopher O. Barnes, and Davide F. Robbiani

Sci. Immunol., **8** (81), eade0958. DOI: 10.1126/sciimmunol.ade0958

View the article online https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciimmunol.ade0958 **Permissions** https://www.science.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of service](https://www.science.org/content/page/terms-service)

Science Immunology (ISSN) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science. 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title Science Immunology is a registered trademark of AAAS. Copyright © 2023 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).